

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.4208 of 2026

DATE: 31.03.2026

Between:

Shaik Subhani.

...Petitioner/A.5

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep.through its Pubic
Prosecutor, High Court
for the State of Telangana.
Hyderabad.

.... Respondent

O R D E R

This Criminal Petition is filed under Sections 480 & 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner/Accused No.5 seeking to enlarge him on bail in connection with Crime No.31 of 2026 of Mattampally Police Station, Suryapet District. The offences alleged against the petitioner are punishable under Sections 191 (2), 191(3), 109, 118(1), 49 read with 190 of the BNS.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 14.02.2026 the *de facto* complainant lodged a complaint stating that he belongs to Congress Party and the petitioner belongs to BRS

Party and the petitioner and others insisted the complainant to join in BRS Party. It is stated that the complainant refused for the same and in the Sarpanch elections the complainant supported congress party and the said party won in the said elections. It is stated that keeping the same in mind, on 14.02.2026 when the complainant, his family members and relatives were standing at their house, the petitioner and other accused came and beat him. A.1 stabbed the complainant with a knife and caused bleeding injury and when other intervened, they also received bleeding injuries. Hence, he requested the police to take necessary action on the said complainant. Basing on the said police registered a case for the aforesaid offences.

3. Heard Sri S.Ganesh, learned counsel representing Sri Kalyan Rao Chengalva, learned counsel for the petitioner/A.5 and Sri M.Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner/A.5 is that the petitioner is innocent of the offences alleged against him; that only due to political rivalry, a false

case has been foisted against him; that the petitioner is in judicial custody since 18.02.2026; that since material part of the investigation is already completed, no further investigation is required and hence, he prays this Court to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the same and submits that the offences alleged against the petitioner are serious and grievous in nature; that investigation is not yet completed and hence, he prays to dismiss the petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by both the counsel and perusal of the material on record, the petitioner is in judicial custody since 15.02.2026. Since L.Ws.1 to 11 were already examined, further investigation is not required. The injuries received by them are simple in nature. Considering the period of incarceration of petitioner in jail, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner/A.5 subject to the following conditions:

- (i) The petitioner-A.5 shall execute personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Huzurnagar.

- (ii) On such release, the petitioner-A5 shall appear before the concerned SHO between 11:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- (iii) The petitioner-A5 abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437 (3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480 (3) of BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 31.03.2026

YVL