

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION Nos.4213 & 4222 of 2026

DATE: 09.04.2026

Crl.P.No.4213 of 2026

BETWEEN:

Madhavaram Srikanth @ Srikanth Rao and others

.....petitioners/accused No.6, 7 and 10

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana
at Hyderabad.

.....Respondent/complainant

Crl.P.No.4222 of 2026

BETWEEN:

Kompella Venkata Rama Satya Harikiran @ Satya Hari Kiran

.....petitioner/accused No.2

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana
at Hyderabad.

.....Respondent/complainant

COMMON ORDER

These Criminal Petition are filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner, who is arrayed as accused No.2, 6, 7 and 10 in Crime No.100 of 2026 before the Pargi Police Station, Vikarabad District, registered for the offences punishable under Section 318(4), 316(2), 338, 351(2) read with 3(5) of BNS.

2. The brief facts of the case are that the case was registered on 14.03.2026 based on a complaint lodged by the defacto complainant alleging that the accused persons induced her and others to purchase a plot admeasuring 500 square yards in Survey Nos. 210, 211 and 212 situated at Pedda Mangalaram Village by representing that they had valid title. Believing their representations, the complainant paid Rs.9,00,000/- towards her share. Subsequently, when she attempted to take possession, she found that the land had already been sold in the year 2007 to third parties pursuant to a court order, thereby revealing that the accused had executed a fraudulent sale deed without valid title. It was further alleged that the accused formed a syndicate, created a fake

housing society, prepared forged documents, and cheated several innocent persons, and accordingly, a case was registered for the above said offences.

3. Heard Sri M. Rameshwar Rao, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners were falsely implicated and had no role in the alleged transaction and that the sale transaction had taken place in the year 2019 by other accused and the petitioners were not connected with the same. He further submitted that the case was foisted in collusion with land grabbers and that multiple writ petitions were pending before the High Court regarding the disputed land, wherein status quo orders were granted. He contended that the petitioners were law-abiding citizens, willing to cooperate with the investigation. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners by allowing these Criminal Petitions.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor filed counter affidavit opposing the petition and contended that the accused persons had formed a syndicate and committed organized fraud by creating fake documents and selling non-existent plots, thereby cheating several innocent purchasers. He further submitted that during investigation, involvement of the petitioners was revealed and custodial interrogation was necessary to trace the flow of illegal money, verify bank transactions, and identify other persons involved. He contended that the offences were grave in nature involving deep-rooted conspiracy and large-scale financial fraud. Therefore, at this stage, granting of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners does not arise. Hence, he prayed the Court to dismiss these criminal petitions.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the allegations arise out of a land transaction wherein the de facto complainant alleges that she was induced to purchase a plot on the basis of misrepresentation of title. The main allegation against the petitioners is that they, along with other accused, formed a

syndicate, created fake documents, and cheated the complainant and others by executing sale deeds in respect of land which had already been sold to third parties. As seen from the record, though there are allegations against the petitioners, the material part of the investigation is completed, including collection of relevant documents and examination of witnesses. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it fit to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioners shall surrender before the Station House Officer, Pargi Police Station, Vikarabad District, within two weeks from today, and on such surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release them on bail on executing a personal bond for Rs.25,000/-, each with two sureties, for the like sum each.
- ii. The petitioners shall abide by the other conditions stipulated in Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 and co-operate with the Investigating Officer in investigating the case.

- iii. The petitioners shall appear before the concerned Investigating Officer on every Wednesday between 09:00 a.m, and 05:00 p.m., for a period of eight (8) weeks or till the filing of the charge sheet, whichever is earlier and thereafter, as and when required.
 - iv. The petitioners are directed not to contact the de facto complainant or any other witnesses during the course of investigation.
8. Accordingly, these Criminal Petitions are allowed.
- Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 09.04.2026
SAI

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION Nos.4213 & 4222 of 2026

Date: 09.04.2026

SAI