

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**TUESDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE J.SREENIVAS RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 3920 OF 2026

Between:

1. Mr. Guguloth Sakru, S/o Mangya, Age.60 years, Occ: Driver, Caste. ST-Lambadi, R/o Chinna Golkonda Village, Shamshabad Mandal, Rangareddy District.
2. Tejavath Hanku, S/o Bichya, Age: 49 years, Occ: Driver, Caste. ST-Lambadi, R/o Mansanpally x Road, Maheshwaram Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

...Petitioners/Accused 1 & 2

AND

1. State of Telangana, Rep. by its Public Prosecutor High Court of Telangana at Hyderabad.
2. Sri. V. Prasad, Sub-Inspector of Maheshwaram PS, Future City Commissionerate, Ranga Reddy District.

...Respondent/Defacto Complainant

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the proceedings and Return of Properties seized in Crime No. 95 of 2026 on the file of PS Maheshwaram, Ranga Reddy District against the petitioners/ accused 1 &2.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings in Crime No.95 of 2026 on the file of PS Maheshwaram, Ranga Reddy District, pending disposal of the above criminal petition.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Mr. C. Vivekanand, Advocate for the Petitioners and the Mr. Jithender Rao Veeramalla, Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondents.

The Court made the following: ORDER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J.SREENIVAS RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION No.3920 of 2026

Date: 17.03.2026

Between:

Mr.Guguloth Sakru and another

...Petitioners

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Telangana ,
at Hyderabad and another

...Respondents

ORDER

This Criminal Petition has been filed seeking to quash the proceedings in Crime No.95 of 2026 of Maheshwaram Police Station, Ranga Reddy District, wherein the petitioners were arrayed as accused Nos.1 and 2, for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhitha, 2023 (for short 'BNS') and Section 7 of the Essential Commodities Act, 1955 (for short 'EC Act').

2. Heard Mr.C.Vivekanand, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr.Jithender Rao Veeramalla, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondents.

3. The specific allegation against the petitioners/accused Nos.1 and 2 is that they have procured PDS rice from the beneficiaries at cheaper rate to sell the same for profit and on 08.03.2026, the petitioners were found in possession of 12 quintals of PDS rice.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that without there being any complaint from any beneficiary, alleging that the rice was procured deceptively or with a criminal intent and charging the petitioners for prosecution is untenable and improper. The allegations, even taken at their face value cannot be sustained against the petitioners. Further, this Court, in CrI.P.No.5709 of 2019, while considering the same situation, categorically observed that the offences alleged against the petitioners therein could not be continued and accordingly quashed the proceedings. The petitioners are also entitled to the same relief and hence prayed to quash the proceedings against the petitioners.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that as per prosecution, the offences said to have been committed by the petitioners is cheating and violation of Section 7 of the EC Act and requested to pass appropriate orders.

6. Section 7 of the EC Act contemplate that any person contravenes with the production, supply, distribution and trade of essential commodities, is punishable. As per the prosecution, the petitioners have procured PDS rice from the beneficiaries after supply from the dealer.

7. A Coordinate Bench of this Court in Crl.P.No.7227 of 2025 has considered the identical facts and observed that:

"There is no averment indicating that the petitioner in any way deceptively induced the beneficiaries to part with the supplied PDS rice or the beneficiary entrusted the PDS rice purchased by them with the petitioner and they dishonestly misappropriated or converted to their own use or used it in violation of a lawful direction or contract. In the absence of essential factors, on the face of prosecution, this Court finds it to be a fit case to exercise the jurisdiction under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023. Thus, continuance of proceedings against the petitioner is abuse of process of law".

8. The facts and circumstances of the present case also similar to those in the above case and hence, this Court finds it to be a fit case to exercise jurisdiction under Section 528 of BNSS by applying the same analogy and to quash the proceedings against the petitioners herein.

9. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed and the proceedings in Crime No.95 of 2026 of Maheshwaram Police Station,

Ranga Reddy District, against the petitioners/accused Nos.1 and 2,
are hereby quashed.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

**SD/- A.SREENIVASA REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

G

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Junior Civil Judge-cum-XVII Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class at Maheshwaram.
2. The Station House Officer, Maheshwaram Police Station, Future City District.
3. One CC to Mr. C. Vivekanand, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
5. Two CD Copies

Kam/PSL


Jks.

HIGH COURT

DATED: 17/03/2026

ORDER

CRLP.No.3920 of 2026



ALLOWING THE CRIMINAL PETITION

⑧ JKS
25/3/26.