

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.3867 OF 2026

DATE : 31.03.2026

Between:

Pindiga Akhila & another

....Petitioners/ A.4 & A.5

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep., by Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Telangana,
Hyderabad & another

..... Respondents

: ORDER :

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking the Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners in the event of their arrest in connection with FIR No.45 of 2026 of Suryapet Town Police Station, Suryapet District. The offences alleged against the petitioners are under section 80 r/w.3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2. The facts of the case are that on 15.02.2026 at about 18:00 hours, the complainant, Chinthapally Ramakrishna, lodged a complaint stating that his daughter, Parimila, who was working as a nurse at Kamineni Hospital, Narketpally, was in love with one Pindiga Akhil. Initially, Akhil refused to marry her, but after a complaint was lodged at Narketpally Police Station, he agreed and married her. At the time of marriage, Akhil and his family allegedly demanded Rs.30 lakhs and a house as dowry, but the complainant, being poor, could pay only Rs.4 lakhs. After the marriage, Akhil, his parents, and other family members allegedly subjected Parimila to continuous mental and physical harassment for additional dowry. In December 2025, she approached the Sakhi Centre at Suryapet, where counseling was conducted, but there was no change in their behavior. On 15.02.2026, due to alleged harassment by her husband and his family members, Parimila committed suicide by hanging. Hence, complainant expressed suspicion regarding her death and requested to take action against the accused. Basing on the said complaint police registered the case against the accused for the above offence.

3. Heard Dr. N.Ravi Kumar, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and Sri M.Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent – State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioners is that petitioners are falsely implicated in this case and no specific overt acts are attributed to them. The complaint contains only omnibus and vague allegations against all the family members of A.1. He further contended that the marriage between A-1 and the deceased was a love marriage performed on 07.08.2025 in a temple with the knowledge of both families, though it was an inter-faith marriage which was not accepted by the deceased's parents. It is contended that the allegation of demand of Rs.30,00,000/- and a house as dowry is baseless and unsupported by any material or independent evidence. It is further submitted that on 15.02.2026, on the occasion of Maha Shivaratri, A-1 was engaged in religious rituals and declined the deceased's request to accompany her to church, and during that time, the deceased went into a room and committed suicide by hanging. Upon noticing this, A-1 immediately informed the police, who came and broke open the door. It is further

contended that the petitioners/A-4 and A-5 are students with no criminal antecedents, and their arrest would seriously affect their education and future prospects. Hence, prayed this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed bail contending that due to the harassment of petitioners along with other accused for dowry, the deceased committed suicide. Investigation in this case is not yet completed and the allegations against the petitioners are serious in nature. Hence, petitioners are not entitled to bail and prayed to dismiss this petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by the respective counsel and the material placed on record, the petitioners herein are A.4 and A.5 and the allegations against them is that they along with A.1 to A.3 harassed the deceased and due to the said harassment, she committed suicide. The record shows that these petitioners are students. A.1 to A.3 were already arrested by the police. Considering the allegations against the petitioners and that as they are students, this Court deems it appropriate to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions :

- i. The petitioners shall surrender before the Station House Officer, Suryapet Town Police Station, Suryapet District within two weeks from today, and on such surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release the petitioners on bail on their executing a personal bond for Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each with two sureties, for the like sum each.
- ii. The petitioners shall appear before the concerned SHO between 09.00 a.m and 05.00 p.m., on every Sunday for a period of eight (8) weeks, for the purpose of investigation or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioners shall abide by the other conditions stipulated in Section 482(2) of BNSS and co-operate with the Investigating Officer in investigating the case.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 31.03.2026

Rds

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.3867 OF 2026

Date: 31.03.2026

Rds