

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.3745 of 2026

DATE: 24.03.2026

Between:

Malepati Ravindra Babu and others

..Petitioners/Accused Nos.5, 6 & 7

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its SHO P.S. Allapur,
Through Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad.

...Respondent/complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners, who are arrayed as accused Nos.5, 6 and 7 in Crime No.651 of 2025 of Allapur Police Station, Cyberabad Commissionerate, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 61(2), 109 r/w 3(5) of the BNS.

2. The brief facts of the case are that, on 11.12.2025, the de-facto complainant lodged a report before the police stating that he is running Battery Shop at Vivekanandanagar, main road Allapur. On 10.12.2025 at about 21:45 hrs, the de-facto complainant has received a call from unknown number and informed that one APE auto has not working due to battery problem and he need new battery he requested to wait for some time and asked not to close the shop. The de-facto complainant has waited for them and at about 22:20 hrs, two persons came to his shop and tried to kill the de-facto complainant. In this complaint, the complainant mentioned the names of the persons accused Nos.1 to 4, who are responsible for the same and requested the police to take necessary action against accused Nos.1 to 4. Basing on the said complainant, the police registered a case for the above said offences and the petitioners herein were suspecting, as they are friends of accused No.4 and they insisted accused No.4 to kill the de-facto complainant, as such, they are arrayed as accused Nos.5, 6 and 7 and the petitioner No.2 is not arrayed as accused even according to the prosecution.

3. Heard Sri C. V. R. Rudra Prasad, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners as well as Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent -State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent of the said allegations and there is no material evidence collected by the prosecution to prove the allegations against the petitioners herein and the de-facto complainant has not received any injuries in the said incident. He further submitted that accused Nos.1 to 3 were already arrested and accused No.1 was already released on bail and the custodial interrogation of the petitioners herein is not required for further investigation. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for petitioners stating that the allegations against the petitioners are serious in nature. He further submitted that though the person i.e. one Subba Nayudu, who gave money to accused Nos.1 to 3 to kill the de-facto complainant was already died and

accused No.4 insisted accused Nos.1 to 3 to kill the de-facto complainant and the petitioner No.2 is not yet arrayed as accused in the present case, as such, the petitioners herein are not entitled for the anticipatory bail. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the Criminal Petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and on a perusal of the material available on record, it is observed that petitioner No.2 herein is not arrayed as accused as per the prosecution case, therefore, the same can be recorded, as he is not an accused in the present case and accused Nos.5, 6 and 7 role was not suspected in the remand report and it is only pending investigation and even according to the de-facto complainant, the names of the petitioners were not mentioned in the complaint only suspecting that the petitioners herein are also involved in this case, as they are friends of accused No.4 and also their names are not reflected in the remand report filed by the prosecution. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it fit to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners/accused Nos.5, 6 and 7, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioners/accused Nos.5, 6 and 7 shall surrender before the Station House Officer, Allapur Police Station, Cyberabad Commissionerate, within two weeks from today, and on such surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release them on bail on executing a personal bond for Rs.25,000/- each, with two sureties, for the like sum each.
- ii. The petitioners/accused Nos.5, 6 and 7 shall abide by the other conditions stipulated in Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 and co-operate with the Investigating Officer in investigating the case.
- iii. The petitioners/accused Nos.5, 6 and 7 shall appear before the concerned Investigating Officer on every Monday between 09:00 a.m, and 05:00 p.m., for a period of 8 weeks or till the filing of

the charge sheet, whichever is earlier,
and thereafter, as and when required.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is partly allowed in respect of petitioners/accused Nos.5, 6 and 7. In so far as this criminal petition with regard to petitioner No.2 is disposed of recording the submissions made by learned Additional Public Prosecutor that he is not arrayed as an accused as on today.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 24.03.2026
TU

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.3745 of 2026

Date: 24.03.2026

TU