

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION Nos.3581 and 3592 of 2026

DATE: 17.03.2026

BETWEEN in Crl.P.No.3381 of 2026:

Mekala Suresh and another.

... Petitioners/
A.2 and A.3

BETWEEN in Crl.P.No.3592 of 2026:

Mekala Bhagyamma

...Petitioner/
A.1

And

The State of Telangana,
represented by its Public Prosecutor
High Court for the State of Telangana
And another

... Respondents

COMMON ORDER

Since the parties and crime involved in these two cases are common, they are being heard together and disposed of by way of this common order.

2. These two Criminal Petitions are filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners, who are arrayed as accused Nos.2, 3 and 1 in Crime No.273 of 2025 on the file of Medak Town Police Station, Medak District, registered for the offence punishable under Section 108 of the BNS.

3. Heard Mr.Ch.Ravinder, learned counsel for the petitioners-A.2, A.3 and A.1 and Sri M.Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The case of the prosecution is that the de facto complainant lodged a report before the police on 02.07.2025, stating that there existed property disputes between the complainant's father and Accused Nos. 1 to 3. It is alleged that when the complainant sought his share in the said property, the accused refused to allot any share. It is further stated that, on account of the said disputes and the financial difficulties faced, the complainant's father committed suicide by consuming pesticide. In this regard, the complainant requested the police to take necessary action against the accused persons. Based on the said report, the police registered a case against the accused for the aforesaid offences.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners (A.1, A.2, and A.3) submitted that the petitioners are innocent of the allegations levelled against them and that a false case has been foisted against them. It was further

contended that, in order to settle a property dispute between the parties, the police, after registering the case, forcibly obtained documents in favor of the complainant. The learned counsel also argued that, even based on the averments made in the complaint, the essential ingredients constituting abetment of suicide of the complainant's father are not made out. On these grounds, it was prayed that this Court may be pleased to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the same and submits that the offence alleged against the petitioners is serious and heinous in nature and hence, the petitioners are not entitled for grant of bail.

7. Having regard to the submissions made by both parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, it is observed that the allegations against the complainant and the petitioners pertain to a property dispute. It is alleged that, upon the accused refusing to grant a share in the said property, the complainant's father committed suicide. However, the complaint does not

contain any specific averments constituting the offence of abetment of suicide. In the absence of such material particulars, this Court is of the considered view that a case for grant of bail is made out. Accordingly, the petitioners are entitled to be enlarged on bail, subject to the following conditions:

- (i) The petitioners-A.2, A.3 and A.1 shall surrender before the Station House Officer, Medak Town Police Station, Medak, within two (2) weeks from today, and on such surrender, the petitioners shall be released on bail on executing personal bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) each with two sureties for a like sum each.
- (ii) On such release the petitioners shall appear before the concerned Investigating Officer on every Wednesday between 09:00 a.m, and 05:00 p.m., for a period of eight (8) weeks or till the filing of the charge sheet, whichever is earlier, and thereafter, as and when required.
- (iii) The petitioners shall abide by the other conditions stipulated in Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 and co-operate with the Investigating Officer in investigating the case.

8. Accordingly, these two Criminal Petitions are allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 17.03.2026
YVL