

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.3549 of 2026

DATE: 23.03.2026

Between:

Undralla Vasudha and another

.... Petitioners/Accused
Nos.3 and 4

AND

The State of Telangana,
Through P.S. Chandanagar.
Through its Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Judicature, at Hyderabad

.... Respondent/
Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners, who are arrayed as accused Nos.3 and 4 in FIR.No.616 of 2025 of Chandanagar

Police Station, Cyberabad Commissionerate, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4) r/w 3(5) of the BNS.

2. The case of the prosecution is that in 2024, through his friend Tyagaraja, he entered into a real estate business with Mahesh of Krist Properties, located at KPHB Colony, Road No. 1. As the business did not generate sufficient profit, Mahesh suggested starting an Agar Wood business, claiming it would be more profitable. He assured that he would manage the operations, and they initially agreed to share profits equally (50:50). Later, due to Mahesh's frequent travel and inability to manage the business, the agreement was revised, and Mahesh agreed to take only 25% of the profits. Based on this understanding, the complainant invested a total of Rs.15,00,000/- and handed over the entire amount to Mahesh. After receiving the investment, Mahesh and his family members cut off all contact with the complainant and failed to return the money. Mahesh issued cheques and notarized documents, but they were of no value. It is further alleged that Mahesh transferred the invested amount to accused No.2 under the pressure of his wife and accused No.1. Hence, he requested for necessary action. Basing on the said complainant, the police registered a case for the above said offences.

3. Heard Sri G. Ashok Reddy, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Ms. G. Rithika Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioners, Sri M. Krishna Chaitanya, learned counsel appearing for the de-facto complainant and Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent of the allegations and they never met, interacted with or had any form of communication with any of the individuals from whom money was alleged collected. He further submitted that the petitioners are neither directors, shareholders nor beneficiaries of M/s.Christ Properties Pvt. Ltd. Or in the Agarwood Business and that the petitioners are solely implicated due to their relationship with accused No.2. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for petitioners stating that huge amount is involved in the present case and it has to be investigated and the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is required for further investigation and prayed the Court to dismiss the Criminal Petition.

6. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the de-facto complainant submitted that the de-facto complainant invested huge amount and the case is at the initial stage, as the huge amount is involved in this case and at this stage, the petitioners are not entitled for the bail and prayed to dismiss the Criminal Petition.

7. In the light of the submissions made by the learned counsel and on a perusal of the material available on record, it is evident that the allegations against the petitioners primarily arise from their association with Accused No.2. There is no prima facie material to show that the petitioners directly interacted with the complainant or handled the alleged transactions. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the allegations against the petitioners, the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required in this case and this Court deems it fit to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners/accused Nos.3 and 4, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioners shall surrender before the Station House Officer, Chandanagar Police Station, Cyberabad Commissionerate, in the event of arrest, and on such

surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release them on bail on executing a personal bond for Rs.25,000/- each, with two sureties, for the like sum each.

- ii. The petitioners shall abide by the other conditions stipulated in Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 and co-operate with the Investigating Officer in investigating the case.
- iii. The petitioner No.1/accused No.3 shall surrender her passport before the concerned Court.

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 23.03.2026
SS

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.3549 of 2026

Date: 23.03.2026

SS