

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.3457 of 2026

DATE: 18.03.2026

Between:

Ch. Madhusudhan Reddy

...Petitioner/accused No.1

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad and another.

.... Respondents

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed before this Court for grant of bail to the Petitioner who is arrayed as accused No.1 in FIR No.3 of 2026 before the Shabad Police Station, Cyberabad Commissionerate, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 64(2), 64(2)(h), 64(2)(i), 64(2)(m), 85, 115(2) r/w 49 of BNS, Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, Section 9 of Prevention of Child Marriage Act, 4, 5(i) r/w. Section 6 of POCSO Act.

2. The brief facts of the case are that the complainant, a minor girl aged 17 years, has alleged that despite her mother's refusal to consent to marriage, she was forcibly taken by the petitioner Madhusudhan Reddy and his family members, and her marriage was performed on 03.05.2023 at Sangameshwara Temple. It is stated that her Aadhaar card was altered to conceal her minority, and thereafter she was subjected to repeated sexual assault, physical violence, and harassment at her matrimonial home. She became pregnant twice, gave birth to two children, and continued to suffer cruelty, including being beaten, deprived of food, and threatened with dire consequences. The complainant has further alleged that her mother and grandmother were also assaulted and threatened, and she has sought protection and legal action against the petitioner and his family members.

3. Heard Sri N. Krishna Sumanth, learned counsel for petitioner, Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent No.1-State and Sri G. Anju Rao, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that after the dismissal of the earlier anticipatory bail by this Court, the petitioner approached the trial Court by filing the application for surrendering and the same was accepted on 26.02.2026 and the petitioner is in jail since 26.02.2026. It is further submitted that

the petitioner and the de-facto complainant already got married and they are having two children and this case is registered only to grab the properties of the petitioner herein and there is a delay of three years in lodging the report and there are good grounds for the petitioner to succeed in the trial Court also. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to him by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.2 opposed the bail stating that the petitioner herein threatened the victim along with mother and grandmother and he continuously assaulting the victim from the last three years and the victim became pregnant and gave birth to two children and there is a threat in the hands of the petitioner herein and if the petitioner is released on bail, there is every chance of threatening the witnesses and also the victim and prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that the allegations against the petitioner herein are serious in nature. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

7. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner herein is in jail since 26.02.2026. Further, the record shows that the marriage of the victim with the petitioner herein was performed three years ago and they were also blessed with two children. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the stage of investigation, the duration of incarceration, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Metropolitan Session Judge-cum-Special Judge for POCSO Act, Ranga Reddy District, at L.B. Nagar.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is

earlier, for the purpose of investigation,
and thereafter, as and when required.

- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).
- iv. The petitioner shall not contact the de-facto complainant and also the witnesses and if such, incident took place, the de-facto complainant is at liberty to file cancellation of bail petition.

8. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

Date: 18.03.2026
TU

K. SUJANA, J

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.3457 OF 2026

DATE : 18.03.2026

TU