

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.3455 of 2026

DATE: 12.03.2026

Between:

Shaik Mohammed Shakeel and another.

...Petitioners/
A.1 and A.2.

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep.by Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad.
Through P.S.Charminar,
Hyderabad District.

.... Respondent

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed under Sections 480 & 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioners/Accused Nos.1 and 2 seeking to enlarge them on bail in connection with Crime No.47 of 2026 of Charminar Commissionerate, Hyderabad. The offences alleged against the petitioners are punishable under Sections 109, 118 (1), 352 read with 3 (5) of the BNS.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the *de facto* complainant lodged a report before the police on 06.03.2026

wherein it is stated that on 05.03.2026 at about 4:00 PM, the complainant came to know that his cousin Shaik Ali was scolded by his employer, Shaik Md.Aqeel, who is running vegetable shop in front of Sagar Hotel near Pathergatti Masjid and when the complainant went along with his cousin to speak with shop owner, meantime, the shop owner and the accused persons attacked with an intention to kill him and caused bleeding injury. It is stated that in the said incident, Shaik MD.Sqeel beat him with a stick while other accused assaulted with hands and abused him in vulgar language. Hence, he requested the police to take necessary action against the accused persons. Basing on the same, police registered a case against the accused for the aforesaid offences. The petitioners herein are accused Nos.1 and 2.

3. Heard Sri Mohd.Akram Uddin Khan learned counsel for the petitioners/A.1 and A.2 and Sri M.Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioners/A.1 and A.2 is that the petitioners are innocent of offences alleged against them and they are falsely implicated

in the present case; that in respect same incident, case and counter-cases were filed arising out of the same transaction; that the complainant received only simple injuries; that the complainant filed an affidavit before this Court stating no objection for grant of bail to the petitioners-A.1 and A.2 and hence, he prays to grant regular bail to the petitioners.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the same and submits that the offences alleged against the petitioners are grievous and heinous in nature; that all injuries received by the complainant are stab injuries and that the injury certificate is awaited and at this stage the petitioners are not entitled for grant of bail and hence, he prays to dismiss the petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by both the counsel and perusal of the material on record, the petitioners are in judicial custody since 07.03.2026. According to the prosecution case, the dispute is between two vegetable vendors. Be that as it may, the *de facto* complainant himself filed an affidavit before this Court stating no objection for grant of bail to the petitioners by this Court. Taking into consideration the said affidavit and having regard to the

circumstances stated above, this Court deems it fit to grant regular bail to the petitioners/A.1 and A.2 subject to the following conditions:

- (i) The petitioners-A.1 and A.2 shall execute personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hyderabad.
- (ii) On such release, the petitioners-A.1 and A.2 shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- (iii) The petitioners-A.1 and A.2 shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437 (3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480 (3) of BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 12.03.2026

Note:

Issue C.C.today.

(B/o)
YVL