

**THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.3168 of 2026

DATE :09.03.2026

Between :

Smt.Rama Devi Chevvuri

... Petitioner/Accused No.3

And

The State of Telangana, through
Rep. by Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana,
Hyderabad

... Respondent

: ORDER :

This criminal petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 by the petitioner/Accused No.3 seeking anticipatory bail in connection with Crime No.54 of 2026 of Karkhana Police Station, Hyderabad. The offences alleged against the petitioner are under Sections 61(2), 137, 316(2), 318(4), 351, 352 and 356 r/w. 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 66 and 67 of IT Act, 2008.

2. The facts of the case are that the de-facto complainant lodged a complaint before the concerned Court and learned trial Court referred the same to the Police for investigation, wherein it is stated that the defacto complainant married A-1 in the year

2017 and by the time of marriage itself A-1 is having illicit relationship with A-4 and it was suppressed by A-2 and A-3, who are brother-in-law and mother-in-law of defacto complainant respectively. Believing the words of A-2 and A-3, defacto complainant married A-1. A-1 has executed an agreement regarding the child visits and she violated the same. A-1 and her family deliberately concealed her severe health conditions from the defacto complainant at the time of marriage. A-1 married him primarily to secure immigration benefits, as evidenced by her visa transactions and immediate separation after obtaining an independent H1B visa. Hence, he requested the police for taking necessary action, basing on the same, Police registered the case against the accused for the above offences.

3. Heard Sri Mujib Kumar Sadasivuni, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent-State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that petitioner is innocent of the said allegations. He further submitted that the complaint is made in the year 2025 stating that he came to know about the relationship between A-1 and A-4 from 2018. Though the defacto complainant filed guardian

OP and divorce OP he never informed the same by way of counter, which itself shows that this compliant is only a counter to the cases filed by A-1. He further submitted that the petitioner herein has no role even according to the complaint and she is aged about 61 years. He further submitted that the custodial interrogation of petitioner/A-3 is not required. Hence, he prayed this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed bail stating that the alleged offences against the petitioner are serious in nature. Therefore, the petitioner is not entitled for anticipatory bail and requested this Court to dismiss the petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by both the parties and the material on record, there are no specific allegations against the petitioner herein / A-3 except stating that she suppressed the fact that A-1 and A-4 were in relationship before their marriage and though the defacto complainant came to know the same in the year 2018 itself he has not stated the same in his counters filed in OPs and there is delay. In that view of the matter, this Court deems it fit to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall surrender before the Station House Officer, Karkhana Police Station, Hyderabad within two weeks from today, and on such surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release the petitioner on bail on her executing personal bond for Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties, for the like sum each.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO between 09.00 a.m and 5.00 p.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks, for the purpose of investigation or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the other conditions stipulated in Section 482 (2) of BNSS and co-operate with the Investigating Officer in investigating the case.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 09.03.2026

PN

28

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.3168 of 2026

Date: 09.03.2026

PN