

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.3003 of 2026

DATE: 10.03.2026

Between:

Rondla Vincent @ Vinne

.... Petitioner/accused No.3

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad.

.... Respondent

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed seeking the Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail, who is arrayed as accused No.3 in FIR No.1173 of 2025 of RGI Airport Police Station, Cyberabad Commissionerate, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c) r/w 22(b) of the NDPS Act, 1985.

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 19.12.2025, the de-facto complainant, on receiving the credible information that some person is carrying MDMA, he immediately conducted inspection and found the petitioner herein along with other accused in possession of 6.47 grams of MDMA and seized the contraband and a case was registered against them for the above said offences.

3. Heard Sri N. Vishal, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and there is no compliance with the mandatory procedural requirements by the investigating authority and he is falsely implicated in this case and he is in jail since 19.12.2025 and the seized contraband is 6.47 grams of MDMA, which is an intermediate quantity and there are no other cases pending against the petitioner. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that the alleged offences are of the NDPS Act and though the seized contraband is 6.47 grams of MDMA, the confession statement of the petitioner herein shows that he purchased 10 grams of MDMA, which is the commercial quantity, as such, he is not entitled for the bail. Further, the investigation is not yet completed. However, he informed that there are no other cases pending against the petitioner. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner herein is in jail from 19.12.2025 and the seized contraband is 6.47 grams of MDMA, which is an Intermediate quantity. Though the prosecution contended that the petitioner had purchased 10 grams of MDMA, the same also falls within intermediate quantity. Further, there are no other cases pending against the petitioner. As seen from the remand case diary, the prosecution witnesses, LWs 1 to 11 have already been examined. Considering the facts and circumstances of the

case, the nature of the allegations, the stage of investigation, the duration of incarceration and the seized contraband, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned XIII Additional District and Sessions Judge, Cyberabad, Ranga Reddy at L.B. Nagar.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3)

of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 10.03.2026
TU

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.3003 of 2026

Date:10.03.2026

TU