

**THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.2927 of 2026

DATE :09.03.2026

Between :

Dhonthi Mallesh

... Petitioner/Accused

And

The State of Telangana, through
P.S. Kalwakurthy, Nagarkurnool District
Rep. by Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana,
Hyderabad

... Respondent

: ORDER :

This criminal petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 by the petitioner/Accused seeking anticipatory bail in connection with Crime No.419 of 2025 of Kalwakurthy Police Station, Nagarkurnool District. The offences alleged against the petitioner are under Sections 69 and 352 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2. The facts of the case are that the de-facto complainant lodged a complaint before police on 26.11.2025 stating that petitioner has developed acquaintance with her by saying delusive words and physically exploited her under the guise of

marriage. Then she gave a complaint to Vangoor Police Station and it was registered as a case in Crime No.74 of 2023 for the offences under Sections 376 and 417 of IPC on 16.06.2023. Since then, she has been working at Pavani Kiranam shop in Kalwakurthy. In the month of December friend of accused met her and asked her to talk with the accused and accused made a proposal to the defacto complainant that he will marry her when she drops the earlier case and stated so the accused again physically exploited the defacto complainant for several times. Later, when she asked for marriage accused abused her in filthy language and asked her to die. She consumed poison and she survived because of her sister. Hence, she requested the police for taking necessary action, basing on the same, Police registered the case against the accused for the above offences.

3. Heard Sri J.C. Francis, learned senior counsel representing Sri K. Surender, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent-State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that petitioner is innocent of the said allegations. He further submitted that defacto complainant is filing several cases against the petitioner herein, which itself shows her intention to

harass the petitioner herein. The earlier case is registered for the offence under Section 376 of IPC and the present case is registered for the offences under Sections 69 and 352 of IPC, which itself shows that there is no truth of allegations against the petitioner herein. He further submitted that petitioner is ready to cooperate with the Investigating Authority. Hence, he prayed this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed bail stating that the allegations against the petitioner itself shows that he threatened the defacto complainant for withdrawal of the earlier case and also exploited her physically again under the promise of marriage and it is a different offence from the earlier offence. Therefore, the petitioner is not entitled for anticipatory bail and requested this Court to dismiss the petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by both the parties and the material on record, there are no specific allegations against the petitioner herein as per the statements of witnesses under Section 161 of Cr.P.C., that he threatened the defacto complainant and agreed to marry her if she withdraws the case. In that view of the matter, this Court deems it fit to grant

anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall surrender before the Station House Officer, Kalwakurthy Police Station, Nagarkurnool District within two weeks from today, and on such surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release the petitioner on bail on his executing personal bond for Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties, for the like sum each.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO between 09.00 a.m and 5.00 p.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks, for the purpose of investigation or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the other conditions stipulated in Section 482 (2) of BNSS and co-operate with the Investigating Officer in investigating the case.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 09.03.2026

PN

26

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.2927 of 2026

Date: 09.03.2026

PN