

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.2758 of 2026

DATE: 03.03.2026

Between:

Mr. Syed Sadiq Hussain Razvi @ Sadiq @ Hussain

.... Petitioner/ accused

AND

The State of Telangana,
Through SHO, PS Nagole,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad and another.

...Respondents/complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed before this Court for grant of bail to the Petitioner who is arrayed as accused in FIR No.25 of 2026 of Nagole Police Station, Rachakonda Commissionerate, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 65(1), 137(2) of the BNS and Section 3 r/w 4 of the POCSO Act.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 12.01.2026, the de-facto complainant lodged a report before the police stating that her daughter by name is aged about 15 years and she is

studying Intermediate First Year and she went to college as usual. However, at 17:00 hours, her daughter did not return home. Complainant further stated that her daughter used to frequently talk over the phone with the petitioner herein, and hence they have suspicion on him. When complainant tried to contact her daughter on mobile, the phone was ringing but the call was not answered. Hence, the complainant requested to take necessary action. Basing on the said complaint, initially the police registered a case for the offence under Section 137(2) of the BNS and later, on investigation, it is revealed that the petitioner herein kidnapped her and took her to the Bangalore and when she is in Bangalore, on 12.01.2026, LW-04 made a phone call and requested to come back to Hyderabad as her parents are unwell and the accused brought her to Hyderabad by bus and reached to Madhina Hotel, near Charminar and he informed her to go to their house for further stay, as such, the police registered a case for the offences punishable under Sections 65(1), 137(2) of the BNS and Section 3 r/w 4 of the POCSO Act.

3. Heard Sri P. Manoj, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and he is falsely implicated in this case and there is no such offence committed by the petitioner to constitute the offence punishable under Section 65(1) of the BNS and even according to the remand case dairy, there is no such offence committed by the petitioner. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to him by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that the allegations against the petitioner are grievous and heinous in nature, as such, he is not entitled for the bail and prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner herein is in jail since 13.01.2026 and on the date of incident, the victim was 16 years and the

petitioner was 19 years and the victim filed an affidavit stating that she has no objection for granting bail to the petitioner and the material part of the investigation was already completed. As seen from the remand case diary, the prosecution witnesses, LWs 1 to 15 have already been examined. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the stage of investigation, the duration of incarceration, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned I Additional Metropolitan Magistrate-Cum-I AJCJ, at L.B. Nagar.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.

iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

Date: 03.03.2026
tu

K. SUJANA, J

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.2758 OF 2026

DATE : 03.03.2026