

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.2745 of 2026

DATE: 11.03.2026

Between:

Dasari Surender @ Suri @ Moin @ Munner

.... Petitioner/
Accused No.1

AND

The State of Telangana,
Through Shyampet,
Represented by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad.

.... Respondent/
Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed praying this Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail who is arrayed as accused No.1 in FIR.No.201 of 2025 before the Shyampet Police Station, Warangal District, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 310(2), 313, 216(2), 118(1), 292, 111, 189(4) r/w 190

of BNS, and Sections 25(1-B)(a) and 27 of Arms Act and Section 27 of NDPS Act.

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 18.10.2025 at about 18.20 hours, the complainant Komire Rajinikanth, lodged a complaint stating that while he was driving his lorry bearing No. APOTTN3669 along with its owner Pitla Naresh, they were intercepted by eight unknown persons, four on a black Pulsar motorcycle bearing No. AP36AK3062 and four on a scooty. The culprits allegedly blocked the lorry, abused the complainant, assaulted him with a rod on his forehead and head causing bleeding injuries, and also beat the lorry owner with hands and kicks. Further, they allegedly filled petrol worth Rs.200 at Bharat Petrol Pump, Mandarpet, and left without payment. Hence, the complainant requested for necessary action. Basing on the said complaint, the police registered a case for the above said offences. The prosecution on investigation found that, accused Nos.1 to 8 are habitual offenders and there are 39 cases pending against accused No.1.

3. Heard Sri M. Mahesh, learned counsel for petitioner as well as Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent -State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and the allegations are baseless and that he has no previous criminal antecedents and is a law-abiding citizen. He contended that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 29.11.2025 and as on today no charge sheet is filed and though there are number of cases pending against the petitioner herein, in all the cases, the petitioner herein was already granted bail by the concerned Court and in view of the long incarceration and there is no progress in the investigation, the petitioner is entitled for the bail. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this Criminal Petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor filed counter opposing the bail stating that the allegations leveled against the petitioner are serious in nature and he is the habitual offender and he was earlier convicted in many of the cases and total 39 cases are pending against the petitioner and if the petitioner is released on bail, he will repeat the same offence, as such, he is not entitled for the bail. He further submitted that, as on today, no charge sheet is filed. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the Criminal Petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner herein is in jail since 29.11.2025 and even after lapse of 90 days, no charge sheet is filed till today, though there are number of cases pending against the petitioner.. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the stage of investigation, the duration of incarceration and also that the charge sheet is not filed, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial First Class Magistrate, at Parkal.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.

iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

Date: 11.03.2026
TU

K. SUJANA, J

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.2745 OF 2026

DATE : 11.03.2026

TU