**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA**
**AT HYDERABAD**
**(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.VIJAYSEN REDDY**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 5156 OF 2025**

**Between:**

Mrs.Karre Sri Laxmi, w/o Danugula Sreekanth D/o late Kane Yadagiri Aged. 30 years Occ. Housemaid r/o 6-6-426/311 Chacha Nehru nagar Secunderabad

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

1. The State of Telengana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary Revenue Department, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector,, Hyderabad District
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer,, Secunderabad Division
4. The Tahasildar, Secunderabad Mandal Hyderabad District

**...RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of MANDAMUS By declaring the action of the Respondent No. 2 in passing impugned order in Proceedings No. H/329733/2021 dated 20-1-2025 rejecting her claim for allotment of 2 bed room flat in chacha Nehru nagar Bansilalpet Secunderabad or in any other locality by considering the report of the RDO and Tahasildar with regard to her residing in Moinabad and not in the said locality even though her name is enumerated at Si No. 289 in the list of beneficiaries dated 27-9-2017 issued by the Respondents 3 and 4 and also in spite of taking over her house bearing No. 6-5-117/4 and demolishing the same and also not considering that she is eligible for allotment of 2 BHK( house by the Respondents satisfying the eligibility criteria

prescribed in G.O.Ms No. 10 Housing (RH&C.A1) Department dated 15-10-2015 and not acting as per the directions of this Honorable Court in order dated 23-9-2024 to decide the issue strictly as per law by rejecting my claim on the same ground in spite of orders of this Honorable Court as not residing there and residing in Moinabad and referring the issue to Basthi Sabha to take decision is illegal, arbitrary, violative of Art.14, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and Consequently to set aside the impugned order of the Respondent No.2 in Proceedings No. H1329733/2021 dated 20-1-2025 by directing the Respondent No.2 to 4 to allot 2 BHK house to her in the Chacha Nehru nagar area or any other area or locality in the vicinity in pursuance of G.O.Ms No. 10 Housing (RH&C.A1) Department dated 15-10-2015 and also List of enumeration vide No. C/1971/2016/SC-CHACHA NERHRU NAGAR dated 27-09-2017 of Respondents 3 and 4 showing her name at S1 no. 289.

**I.A. NO: 1 OF 2025**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondent No.2 to 4 to allot 2 BHK house to me in the Chacha Nehru nagar area or any other area or locality in the vicinity in pursuance of G.O. Ms No. 10 Housing (RH&C.A1) Department dated 15-10-2015 and also List of enumeration vide No. C/1971/2016/SC-CHACHA NERHRU NAGAR dated 27-09-2017 of Respondents 3 and 4 showing her name at S1 no. 289.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. G RAVI CHANDRAN**

**Counsel for the Respondents: AGP FOR ASSIGNMENT**

**The Court made the following: ORDER**

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD**

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B. VIJAYSEN REDDY**

**WRIT PETITION No.5156 of 2025**

**Dated: 29.04.2026**

**Between**

Mrs. Karre Sri Laxmi

...**PETITIONER**

**And**

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Revenue Department,
Hyderabad and others.

...**RESPONDENTS**

**ORDER**:

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Assignment.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that at the time of field enquiry conducted by the authorities, the petitioner was attending some social function and she was not available at her house and without conducting property enquiry, the petitioner was found to be not eligible. However, later enquiry was conducted by the respondent No.4 pursuant to the order passed by this Court in WP.No.26213 of 2024 dated 23.09.2024 and report was submitted by the respondent No.4 stating that as per the local enquiry, the petitioner is presently residing at H.No.6-5-115/4, New Bhoiguda,

Secunderabad and her family financial status falls Below Poverty Line and hence, eligible for 2BHK. Further, the respondent No.4 recommended to the respondent No.3 to consider the request of the petitioner in any ongoing Non-insitu housing scheme.

3. In the light of the report of the Tahsildar dated 23.03.2026, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to consider the case of the petitioner for allotment of 2BHK in 1. Potti Sriramulu Nagar, Bansilalpet, 2. GYR Compound, Kavaiguda, 3. IDH Colony, New Bhoiguda and 4. Bondha Maisamma, Bansilalpet, if any 2BHK is vacant and in any ongoing Non-insitu housing scheme. This exercise shall be completed within a period of six (6) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The miscellaneous applications, pending if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as costs.

**SD/-M. OSMAN ALI BAIG**
**ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

**//TRUE COPY//**

**SECTION OFFICER**

**To,**

1. The Principal Secretary Revenue Department, The State of Telengana, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector,, Hyderabad District
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer,, Secunderabad Division
4. The Tahasildar, Secunderabad Mandal Hyderabad District
5. One CC to Sri G. Ravi Chandran, Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to GP for Assignment, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
7. Two CD Copies

TJ
BS

**HIGH COURT** **CC TODAY**

**DATED: 29/04/2026**

**ORDER**

**WP.No.5156 of 2025**



**DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION**

**WITHOUT COSTS**

(10) NT
5/5/26.