

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.2187 of 2026

DATE : 25.02.2026

Between:

Pabba Mamatha.

...Petitioner/Accused No.10

AND

The State of Telangana
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad.

...Respondent

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed before this Court for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner who is arrayed as accused No.10 in FIR No.201 of 2025 before the Gowraram Police Station, Siddipet District, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 108, 318(4), 316(2), 61 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (for short 'BNS') and under Sections 3 to 6 of Prize Chits and Money circulating Act-1978.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the *de-facto* complainant, lodged a report before the police on 09.10.2025 stating that the younger son of the de-facto complainant has completed his B-Tech five years back. He worked in a private company for a few days, later returned home, and was attending online interviews for a better job since six months. On 09.10.2025, the de-facto complainant went to Toopran, and called his son over the phone to bring a motorcycle, but it was found switched off. Then the de-facto complainant called his brother's son and asked him to go to the de-facto complainant's house. Accordingly, he went to the complainant's house and informed the complainant's wife that the de-facto complainant's son was not answering the calls of the de-facto complainant. Subsequently, the complainant's wife went to her son's room and noticed that her son had hanged himself. Immediately, the son of the de-facto complainant was brought down and noticed that he had died. The complainant immediately rushed home after receiving information and found his son's dead body. Later they noticed some papers in the deceased room, which revealed that he had borrowed money from loan apps and invested it in online betting apps, gaming apps and also deposited Rs.4,00,000/- in QNet Company, thereby lost amount due to fraud, as such requested the police for taking necessary action.

On receipt of the said complaint, the Police registered case and the petitioner herein is arrayed as accused No.10. The allegations against the petitioner are that she is also a motivator in QNet Company and she induced innocent persons to invest in the QNet Company, thereby abating the deceased to commit suicide, as such the de-facto complainant requested the police for taking necessary action against this petitioner also. Aggrieved thereby, this Criminal Petition is filed seeking anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

3. Heard Sri T.V. Ramana, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent - State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and the petitioner also joined as an agent in QNet Company along with the other agents. It is further submitted that there are no specific allegations against the petitioner and that all other accused were granted Anticipatory Bail by this Court and the petitioner may be granted bail in the similar footing. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner by filing counter and contended that there are specific allegations against the petitioner and the petitioner is one of the important person in promoting the QNet Company, thereby leading the petitioner to invest in the said company. It is further contended that the custodial interrogation is required for the petitioner, as such the petitioner herein is not entitled to grant bail. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner is arrayed as accused No.10 and the other accused pertaining to the said case were granted bail by this Court including the directors of the company stating that there is no direct link to the death of the deceased and the investment made by this petitioner. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it fit to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner, subject to compliance of the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall surrender before the Station House Officer, Gowraram Police

Station, Siddipet, within two weeks from today, and on such surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release him on bail, on petitioner executing a personal bond for Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties, for the like sum each.

- ii. The petitioner shall abide by the other conditions stipulated in Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 and co-operate with the Investigating Officer in investigating the case.
- iii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned Investigating Officer on every Monday between 09:00 a.m, and 05:00 p.m., till the filing of the charge sheet and thereafter, as and when required.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 25.02.2026
SRK

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.2187 of 2026

Date: 25.02.2026

SRK