

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.2157 of 2026

DATE: 27.02.2026

BETWEEN:

P. Narayan Reddy

.....petitioner/accused No.2

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana
at Hyderabad.

.....Respondent/complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner, who is arrayed as accused No.2 in Crime No.114 of 2026 before the

Rajendranagar Police Station, Cyberabad Commissionerate, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 316(5), 351(2) read with 3(5) of BNS.

2. The brief facts of the case are that the de facto complainant, married Sravan Kumar in 2015, and at the time of marriage her mother sold the family's only house to meet marriage expenses. After her divorce in February 2020, she received Rs.40,00,000/- towards alimony, which became her sole financial support while living with her aged mother. During this period, Narayan Reddy and Jagadish Kumar, acting as mediators, induced her to invest the alimony amount in a land purchase, introducing C. Srinivas Rao as the owner of Plot No. 6 admeasuring 202 square yards in Survey No. 111. Believing their assurances, she purchased the land in May 2020 under a registered document and paid a total amount of about Rs.42,00,000/- through various bank accounts and UPI transactions. Later, she discovered that the land shown to her was actually Plot No. 22 and not Plot No. 6, and when she sought clarification, the accused persons avoided and blocked her. Subsequently, Narayan Reddy executed a written undertaking admitting responsibility and

promised to repay Rs.40,00,000/- along with 50% profit, totaling Rs.90,00,000/-, by August 5, 2022, but failed to do so and allegedly extended threats and abuse, leading her to lodge the present complaint.

3. Heard Sri V.V. Ramana, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri E. Ganesh, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the alleged offences and that the only allegation against him is that, at his instance, the de facto complainant invested money for the purchase of the subject plot. He further submitted that the petitioner is in no way connected with the alleged cheating or other allegations, nor did he receive any amount. He also informed the Court that the de facto complainant and the accused have already entered into a compromise and that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner by allowing the Criminal Petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, stating that there are serious allegations against the petitioner and that he knowingly instigated the de facto complainant to invest money in the subject plot. Therefore, he contended that the petitioner is not entitled to bail. However, he informed the Court that a compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

6. In light of the submissions made by both learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the parties have compromised the matter. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and in view of the compromise arrived at between the parties, this Court deems it fit to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall surrender before the Station House Officer, Rajendranagar Police Station, Cyberabad Commissionerate, within two weeks from today, and on such surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release him on bail on executing a personal bond for

Rs.25,000/-, each with two sureties,
for the like sum each.

- ii. The petitioner shall abide by the other conditions stipulated in Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 and co-operate with the Investigating Officer in investigating the case.
- iii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned Investigating Officer on every Monday between 09:00 a.m, and 05:00 p.m., for a period of eight (8) weeks or till the filing of the charge sheet, whichever is earlier and thereafter, as and when required.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 27.02.2026
SAI

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.2157 of 2026

Date: 27.02.2026

SAI