

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.2089 of 2026

DATE: 20.02.2026

BETWEEN:

Rinkoo

.....petitioner/accused

And

The State of Telangana,

Rep. by Public Prosecutor,

High Court for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad,

(through P.S. Santhoshnagar, Hyderabad)

.....Respondent/complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail, who is arrayed as an accused in SC POCSO case No.481 of 2024 on the file of the learned Special Court for the trial of the POCSO Act Cases, Hyderabad at Nampally.

An NBW was issued against the petitioner as the petitioner is not appeared before the Court on 27.12.2025. When the petitioner was filed a petition before the trial Court, the same was dismissed on the ground that petitioner-accused is not appearing before the Court from the date of issuance of summons.

2. Heard Sri V. Vijay Shankar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, as well as Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent-State.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was unaware of the date of adjournment in the trial Court. He further submitted that the petitioner has been in jail since 28.01.2026. The petitioner is willing to cooperate with the trial as the trial is at the initial stage and even the charges are not framed, there is no possibility to complete the trial in the near future. Hence, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this Criminal Petition.

4. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that the petitioner is not

cooperating with the trial. If the petitioner is released on bail, there is every chance of his absconding, which would hamper the trial. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

5. In light of the submissions made by both learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it is noted that the trial is not yet commenced and charges are not framed. Considering the same, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Court for the Trial of the POCSO Act Cases, Hyderabad at Nampally.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the trial Court on each and every adjournment and cooperate with the trial Court in disposal of the case, as the case is of the year 2013.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3)

of Cr.P.C.(presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

- iv. The petitioner is directed to appear before the trial Court on every date of adjournment.

6. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 20.02.2025
pld

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.2089 of 2025

Date: 20.02.2026

pld