

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.1750 of 2026

DATE: 20.02.2026

BETWEEN:

B. Chandra Shekar

.....petitioner/accused No.2

And

Union of India,
Through Sub-Inspector,
Narcotics Control Bureau,
Hyderabad Zonal Unit.

.....Respondent/Respondent

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed seeking to enlarge the petitioner, on bail, who is arrayed as accused No.2 in Crime No.NCB F.No.IV/4/31/Cr.No.01/2026/Hyd, registered for the

offences punishable under Section 8(c) read with 22(b), 28 and 29 of NDPS Act.

2. The prosecution case in brief is that on 05.01.2026, the officials of respondent Bureau have seized a courier parcel at DHL Express Pvt. Limited, Lakdi-Ka-Pul, Hyderabad, which allegedly contained 180 tables of Lobazam 10 (Clobazam) along with several other medicines and that the said parcel was booked for shipment to the U.S.A. Basing on the same, the police were investigated the case and found that the same was pertains to accused Nos.1 and 2, as such a case is registered against accused Nos.1 and 2.

3. Heard Sri P. Sajan Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is no way connected with the said offence and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the complaint and falsely implicated in this case and that the seized contraband is not the banned drug, even according to

the respondent, it is only intermediate quantity. He further submitted that the petitioner has been in jail since 08.01.2026. Therefore, he prayed this Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor filed counter and opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that the investigation was not yet completed, as such, granting bail to the petitioner, at this stage, does not arise. Hence, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on record, since the seized contraband is of intermediate quantity, the petitioner have been languishing in jail since 08.01.2026, and the material part of the investigation has already been completed, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees

Twenty Five Thousand only) each, with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Metropolitan Magistrate at Hyderabad.

- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.(presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 20.02.2026
pld

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.1750 of 2026

Date: 20.02.2026

pld