IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF FEBRUARY TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 1635 OF 2026

Between:

Anthati T hirurnaiesh Goud @ Thirumai Goud,, S/o Anthati Bikshipathi Goud, aged about 40 years, Occ. Business, R/o. No.. 2-30, Inamguda, Inamguda, Rangareddi

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED NO.3

AND

State of Telangana, Rep. By its Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad Through P.S.Nagole, R.R.District.

...RESPONDENT

Petition under Section 482 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to enlarge the petitioner/Accused No.3 on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No. 705 of 2025 on the file of Nagole P.S. Ranga Reddy district/Rachakonda during the Pendency of inquiry and trial and pass such other order or orders as deemed fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Mohd Akram Uddin Khan, Advocate for the Petitioner and Sri D.Arun Kumar, Additional Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad on behalf of the sole Respondent.

The Court made the following: ORDER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.1635 OF 2026

24.02.2026

Between :

Anthati Thirumalesh Goud @ Thirumal Goud
... Petitioner/A.3

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep.. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana,
At Hyderabad through PS Nagole
... Respondent

: ORDER :

This criminal petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 by the petitioner/A.3 seeking anticipatory bail in connection with Crime No.705 of 2025 of Nagole Police Station, Ranga Reddy District. The offences alleged against the petitioner are under Sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS').

2. The case of the prosecution is that a complaint was lodged on 30.09.2025 from Gowrishetty Chandraiah, who claimed to be the absolute owner and possessor of Plot No.346 in Survey No. 429 of Pasumamula Village, purchased through a registered sale deed dated 30.06.1986. He alleged that during a visit to the plot on 23.09.2025, he found certain individuals including Shaik Johnny and two women claiming ownership based on a forged death certificate and a fabricated family certificate falsely stating that complainant and his wife were deceased and that the women were their daughters. He further alleged that these individuals had executed and registered a fake sale deed dated 22.09.2025 in favor of Shaik Johnny. Upon discovering this, he approached the Sub-Registrar's Office, obtained the Encumbrance Certificate (EC) confirming the land was still in his name, and lodged the complaint.

3. Heard Sri Mohd. Akram Uddin Khan, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that petitioner is falsely implicated in this case and he had no role in

the alleged preparing or registering the forged documents. He further contended that material part of investigation is already completed including recovery of documents. The A.1 and A.2 in this case are already arrested and released on bail, as such, custodial interrogation of the petitioner herein is not required. Hence, prayed this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed bail stating that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature. The petitioner herein played a key role in this offence. He conspired with A.1 and A.2, created, executed and forged the documents. Hence, petitioner is not entitled for bail and prayed to dismiss this petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by both the counsel and the material placed on record, prima facie, the main allegation against the petitioner is that he actively participated with the other accused in the creation and facilitation of forged documents, including a fabricated death certificate and family certificate. These documents were allegedly used in the execution and registration of a disputed sale deed dated 22.09.2025. Further there are no changed circumstances from

the earlier bail application to the present bail application. As such, this Court is not inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner at this stage and the same is liable to be dismissed.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is dismissed. Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

SD/- AHMED ABDULLA KHAN
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The I Additional Junior Civil Judge –cum- I Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Rangareddy District at L.B.Nagar.
2. The Station House Officer,Nagole Police Station, Hyderabad, Rangareddy District.
3. One CC to Sri. Mohd Akram Uddin Khan, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to the Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad [OUT]
5. Two CD Copies.

PSK /PSL

HIGH COURT

DATED:24/02/2026

ORDER

CRLP.No.1635 of 2026



DISMISSING THE CRIMINAL PETITION

8
11/03/26