

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF
TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.627 of 2026

DATE : 02.02.2026

Between:

Sri Vemulla Babu Reddy and another.

...Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 and 11

AND

The State of Telangana
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Telangana.

...Respondent

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed before this Court for grant of bail to the Petitioners who are arrayed as accused Nos.1 and 11 in FIR No.1930 of 2025 of Miyapur Police Station, Cyberabad Commissionerate, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 143(5), 61(2), 111 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (for short 'BNS') and under Sections 81, 87, 80 of the Juvenile Justice Act, 2015.

2. The brief facts of the case are that, on 24.12.2025, the *de-facto* complainant lodged a report before the police stating that certain persons were gathering near Metro Pillar No.600, Miyapur with an intention to sell an infant baby who was allegedly brought from Ahmedabad for huge monetary gain. Believing the information received, the *de-facto* complainant informed the superior officers, pursuant to which the police conducted inspection at the said location and allegedly found accused Nos.1 to 10 and others forming part of an organized gang, having a common intention to illegally procure and sell infant babies for monetary gain. It is further alleged that, as part of their plan, the accused targeted poor and economically weaker persons who were unable to maintain their newborn infants and induced them by offering substantial amounts of money. Further, on 17.12.2025, accused Nos.3 and 5 proceeded to Ahmedabad in train and reached to Palanpur on 19.12.2025 and collected infant baby by paying Rs.4,00,000/- , thereafter they returned to Hyderabad on 21.12.2025.. It is further alleged that accused No.5 instructed 1) Vemula Babu Reddy, 2) Gangadhar Reddy, 3) Laxmi and 4) Ram Hari Roy to come to Metro pillar No.600, Miyapur for the purpose of collecting and selling the infant baby to the needy people. It is further stated that in the presence of panchas, de-facto complainant recorded confessional cum seizure panchanamas

of the accused persons. Hence, the complainant requested to take necessary action. Based on the said complaint, the police registered a case against the petitioners for the aforementioned offences.

3. Heard Sri Godugu Mallesham, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners as well as Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that accused Nos.1 and 11 have been in judicial custody since 24.12.2025 and are innocent of the said allegations. It is further submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further contended that the material part of investigation is already completed, and that the police custody of the petitioners is over. In such circumstances, no custodial interrogation is required. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioners by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that the alleged offences against the

petitioners are grievous and heinous in nature. It is further contended that the material part of the investigation is not yet completed. That apart, accused No.1 is involved in two other crimes of similar nature. Accordingly, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition. However, he informed the Court that there are no other criminal cases pending against accused No.11.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court is of the considered view that, having regard to the severity of the allegations against petitioner/accused No.1 and the fact that he is involved in other crimes of similar nature, the criminal petition, to the extent of petitioner/accused No.1 is dismissed. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the stage of investigation, and the duration of incarceration, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner/accused No.11, as there are no other cases pending against the petitioner/accused No.11 and she is having two minor kids, subject to the following conditions.

- i. The petitioner/accused No.11 shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned VIII Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class at Kukatpally.
- ii. The petitioner/accused No.11 shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner/accused No.11 shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is partly allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 02.02.2026
SRK

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.627 of 2026

Date:02.02.2026

SRK