

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.483 of 2026

DATE: 27.01.2026

BETWEEN:

Maryam Sultana

.....petitioner/accused No.8

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana
at Hyderabad.

.....Respondent/complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed before this Court for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner who is arrayed as accused No.8 in Crime No.147 of 2025 on the file of the CCS, Hyderabad, EOW Team VIII, registered for the offences

punishable under Sections 318(4), r/w 61(2) of BNS, and Section 5 of TSPDFEA.

2. The brief facts of the case of prosecution are that in the year 2019 the complainants were introduced to accused Javedulla Khan through one Imran Ali of Dubai. Javedulla Khan along with his associates represented that they were running profitable ventures under the name “TK Trading” and promised a 5% monthly return on investments, assuring that the capital was secure. Believing these representations, Complainant No.2 invested Rs.46,00,000/- (Rs.40,00,000/- through bank transfers and Rs.6,00,000/- in cash), while Complainant No.1 invested Rs.83,00,000/- between September 2023 and April 2025 (Rs.61,00,000/- through bank transfers and Rs.22,00,000/- in cash). Initially small returns were paid to gain confidence, but later all payments were stopped and the accused refused to return the invested amounts. Subsequent inquiry revealed that crores of rupees were collected from several investors without authorization under RBI and SEBI regulations, and “TK Trading” was a fictitious entity created to cheat investors. When confronted, the

accused allegedly threatened the complainants and abused them in filthy language.

3. Heard Sri Mohammed Aslam, learned counsel for petitioners, and Sri M. Vivekananda Reddy, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent - State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is the wife of accused No.7, a housewife. He contended that neither petitioner ever met the complainants nor had any role in the alleged transactions. He asserted that Petitioner only came to know of the matter when she dialed 100 to rescue her husband from an alleged kidnapping attempt by the complainants. While asserting that the petitioner is innocent and no way connected with the alleged offence, he prayed the Court to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner by allowing this Criminal Petition.

5. Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for petitioner, and contended that the allegations in the FIR disclose a large-scale

financial fraud wherein crores of rupees were collected from unsuspecting investors under the guise of “TK Trading.” He submitted that the petitioner is shown as associates of accused No.1 and her involvement cannot be ruled out at this stage when the investigation is at a crucial stage and custodial interrogation may be necessary to unearth the full extent of the conspiracy and trace the diverted funds. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. Having regard to the rival submissions and material on record, it is noted that petitioner is accused Nos.8 who is wife of accused No.7. As seen from the remand case diary, there are no specific set of allegations against the petitioner. Therefore, this Court deems it fit to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall surrender before the Station House Officer, INSP ADMIN (DD) Police Station, Hyderabad, within two weeks from today, and on such surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release her on bail on executing a

personal bond for Rs.25,000/-, with two sureties, for the like sum each.

- ii. The petitioner shall abide by the other conditions stipulated in Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 and co-operate with the Investigating Officer in investigating the case.
- iii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned Investigating Officer on every Monday between 09:00 a.m, and 05:00 p.m., for a period of eight weeks or till the filing of the charge sheet, whichever is earlier, and thereafter, as and when required.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 27.01.2026
SAI

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.483 of 2026

Date: 27.01.2026
SAI