

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.439 of 2026

DATE: 22.01.2026

Between:

Sargam Kuberudu @ Upender Reddy

.... Petitioner/accused No.2

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad.

.... Respondent/Complainant

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner, who is arrayed as accused No.2 in Crime No.413 of 2025 of Nizamabad Rural Police Station, Nizamabad District, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 204, 319(2), r/w 3(5) of the BNS.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 26.12.2025, the de-facto complainant lodged a report before the police stating that she acquainted with accused No.1 and accused No.1 introduced accused No.2 as her uncle and she stated that her uncle is working in Railway Department has a head constable and she also told Thote Sandeep that he had completed his degree but was unemployed and assured him that she would get him appointed in the R & B Department. Believing her statement, Thote Sandeep gave an amount of Rs.6,00,000/- on different dates to accused No.1 and assured him that he would get the job by the month of October and when he questioned her about the money, she denied knowing him and refused to return the amount and thereby, accused Nos.1 and 2 cheated him by falsely promising him a government job. Hence, she requested for necessary action. Basing on the said complainant, the police registered a case for the above said offences and as there is a mentioned of the name of the petitioner herein, the petitioner is arrayed as accused No.2.

3. Heard Sri T. Srujan Kumar Reddy, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner herein is innocent of the said allegations and whatever the allegations are made by the de-facto complainant is only against the accused No.1 and the de-facto complainant and accused No.2 are strangers to each other and though the trial Court granted anticipatory bail in other two crimes, the present case is dismissed by the trial Court, on the ground that, he may influence the official authorities and all the offences are punishable below seven years and there are no allegations against the petitioner herein. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for petitioner stating that the allegations against the petitioner herein are serious in nature and earlier also he was involved in two other crimes and therefore, he is not entitled for the anticipatory bail. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the Criminal Petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and on a perusal of the material available on

record, the report of the de-facto complainant shows that accused No.1 collected an amount of Rs.6,00,000/- from Thote Sandeep under the pretext of government job in R & B Department and there are no allegations against the petitioner herein and accused No.1 demanded amount from one Thote Sandeep and there is no such demand from the petitioner herein and the allegations are against accused No.1. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it fit to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall surrender before the Station House Officer, Nizamabad Rural Police Station, Nizamabad District, Telangana, within two weeks from today, and on such surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release him on bail on executing a personal bond for Rs.25,000/-, with two sureties, for the like sum each.
- ii. The petitioner shall abide by the other conditions stipulated in Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha

Sanhita, 2023 and co-operate with the Investigating Officer in investigating the case.

- iii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned Investigating Officer on every Monday between 09:00 a.m, and 05:00 p.m., for a period of 8 weeks or till the filing of the charge sheet, whichever is earlier, and thereafter, as and when required.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 22.01.2026
TU

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.439 of 2026

Date: 22.01.2026

TU