

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT  
HYDERABAD**

**THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA**

**CRIMINAL PETITION No.391 of 2026**

**DATE: 03.02.2026**

**Between:**

Guguloth Kavitha

.... Petitioner/  
Accused No.3

**AND**

The State of Telangana,  
Represented by its Public Prosecutor,  
High Court for the State of Telangana,  
Hyderabad. Through Station House Officer,  
Police Station Mahabubabad Town

.... Respondent/  
Complainant

**ORDER**

This criminal petition is filed under Section 480 & 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner/Accused No.3 seeking enlargement on bail in connection with Crime No.532 of 2025 of Mahabubabad Town Police Station, Mahabubabad District. The offences alleged against the petitioner are under Sections 103(1), 109, 115(2) and 296(b) read with 3(5) of BNS.

2. The brief facts of the case are that, the *de-facto* complainant lodged a report with the police on 12.12.2025, stating that his sister, was married to accused No.1 and has two children and soon after marriage, accused No.1, parents and sister of accused No.1 began harassing his sister for additional dowry. A case was registered for the offence under Sections 85 BNS & 3 & 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961. Later, a panchayat was held where accused No.1 and his parents promised to take care of her, but the harassment continued. On 11.12.2025, accused No.1 and his parents attempted to kill the complainant's sister by beating her. She escaped and informed her father. When the complainant and his father reached Military Colony at about 23:10 hrs, accused No.1 attacked the complainant, while his parents assaulted his father. They beat him, pressed his testicles, and abused them. His father fell unconscious and was taken to the Government Hospital, where despite CPR, he was declared dead. Consequently, the complainant requested the police to take necessary action. Based on his complaint, a case was registered for the alleged offences.

3. Heard Sri Pasham Trivikram Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner is innocent of the allegations and have been in judicial custody since 13.12.2025 and there are no specific allegations leveled against this petitioner and that the crucial part of the investigation has already been completed. Hence, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, contending that the petitioner, along with other accused persons, was responsible for the death of the deceased. He further contended that the petitioner had indiscriminately assaulted the deceased, and emphasized that the allegations against the petitioner were grave and heinous in nature. He further submitted that the investigation is ongoing. Therefore, he prayed that the Court dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In light of the submissions made by both learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it is

evident that the petitioner is arrayed as accused No.3, being the wife of accused No.2, and has remained in judicial custody since 13.12.2025. The allegation against the petitioner is that she, along with other accused persons, assaulted the deceased, the *de-facto* complainant, and his sister. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the gender of the petitioner, the stage of investigation, and the duration of incarceration, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner–Accused No.3, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge at Mahabubabad.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Wednesday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3)

of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

---

**K. SUJANA, J**

Date: 03.02.2026  
SS

**THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA**

**CRIMINAL PETITION No.391 OF 2026**

**DATE : 03.02.2026**

SS