

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.325 OF 2026

19.01.2026

Between :

Md. Ishaq

... Petitioner/Accused

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep., by its Public Prosecutor
High Court at Hyderabad
Through P.S.Nallakunta,
Hyderabad District

... Respondent/Complainant

: ORDER :

This criminal petition is filed under Section 480 & 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 by the petitioner/Accused seeking regular bail in connection with FIR No.325 of 2025 of Nallakunta Police Station, Hyderabad District. The offences alleged against the petitioner are under Sections 69 and 351(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2. The facts of the case are that the defacto complainant lodged a complaint before police on 03.12.2025 stating that she is working as Associate in WIPRO company from March, 2025 and she got acquaintance with the petitioner herein in the said company. Thereafter the petitioner herein proposed her for marriage and she accepted the same. The petitioner under the promise of marriage physically exploited the defacto complainant and in November, 2025 the petitioner introduced another girl who is also working in the same company as his girl friend and when the complainant asked about their relationship, he shouted on her, abused her in filthy language. Though the issue was reported to the Manager of the company, no action was taken against the petitioner. Hence, requested the police for necessary action against the accused. Basing on the said complaint, police registered the case against the accused for the above offences.

3. Heard Sri M.Durga Prasad, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and whatever

relationship between the parties is a consensual relationship and there is no promise of marriage by the petitioner. The petitioner is in jail from 08.12.2025 and that material part of investigation is already completed. Hence, prayed this Court to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed bail contending that the allegations against the petitioner are serious and heinous in nature and that investigation is not yet completed. Hence, the petitioner is not entitled for bail and prayed to dismiss this petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by both the counsel and the material placed on record, the petitioner is in jail from 08.12.2025 and that Lws.1 to 15 are already examined. Considering the period of incarceration of petitioner in jail and the progress in investigation, this Court deems it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions.

- i. The petitioner/Accused shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the IV-Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate at Nampally, Hyderabad.
- ii. The petitioner/Accused shall appear before the concerned SHO between 09.00 a.m and 5.00 p.m., on every Wednesday for a period of eight (8) weeks

or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.

iii. The petitioner/Accused shall abide by the other conditions stipulated in Section 483(2) of the BNSS.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Date :19.01.2026
Rds

K. SUJANA, J

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.325 OF 2026

DATE :19.01.2026

Rds