

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

**WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.SHRAVAN KUMAR

WRIT PETITION NO: 854 OF 2026

Between:

Mrs. Umme Ammarah, W/o Mr. Syed Asim Fardeen, Aged about 35 years, Occ-
Housewife, resident of Flat No. 502, 503, 601, Grace Residency, Beside Aziza
Masjid, Humayun Nagar, Mehdiapatnam, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner of Police,, Hyderabad Commissionerate, Hyderabad.
3. The Deputy Commissioner of Police, Southwest Zone, Hyderabad Commissionerate, Telangana.
4. The Assistant Commissioner of Police, Asif Nagar Division
5. The Station House Officer, Mehdiapatnam Police Station, Hyderabad
6. Syed Mariyam, W/o Late Sultan Baksh, Aged about 75 years, Occ-
Housewife, R/o 8-2-316/H/1, Plot No. 164, Road No.14, Banjara Hills,
Hyderabad, Telangana
7. Salman Ahmed Hussaid Baksh, S/o Late Sultan Baksh, Aged about 50 years,
Occ- Business, R/o 8-2-316/H/1, Plot No. 164, Road No.14, Banjara Hills,
Hyderabad, Telangana

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue Writ, Order or Direction, or any other appropriate Order one in the nature of a Writ Of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondent more particularly Respondent No. 5 in not registering FIR and taking actions against the Respondent No. 6 to 8 despite making specific complaint dated 10.11.2025 to

Respondent No. 5 herein is illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and consequently direct the Respondent No. 5 to register a crime against the Respondent No. 6 to 8 by considering the complaint dated- 10.11.2025.

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondent No. 5 to register a crime against the Respondent No. 6 to 8 by considering the complaint dated 10.11.2025.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI E. VENKATA SIDDHARTHA

Counsel for the Respondents No 1 To 5: AGP FOR HOME

Counsel for the Respondents No 6 & 7: -----

The Court made the following: ORDER

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.SHRAVAN KUMAR

WRIT PETITION No.854 of 2026

DATED: 21.01.2026

Between:

Umme Ammarah

...Petitioner

AND

The State of Telangana,
Represented by its Principal Secretary,
Home Department,
Secretariat,
Hyderabad and 6 others.

...Respondents

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 5. With their consent, this writ petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

2. This writ petition is filed declaring the action of respondent No.5 in not registering FIR and taking action against the respondent Nos.6 to 8 despite making specific complaint dated

10.11.2025 to respondent No.5 herein is illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and consequential prayer to direct the respondent No.5 to register a crime against the respondent Nos.6 to 8 by considering the complaint dated 10.11.2025.

3. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home placed on record the written instructions issued by Sub-Inspector of Police, Mehdiapatnam Police Station, Hyderabad wherein it is stated that petitioner never submitted any complaint dated 08.11.2025 to the respondent No.5. No such complaint has been placed on record, and the petitioner has also failed to file a copy of the alleged complaint along with the writ petition. In fact, the only subsequent complaint submitted by the petitioner was dated 10.12.2025, which was sent by registered post to the respondent No.5 and a copy of the same has been annexed in this writ petition. However, the petitioner had addressed a complaint dated 02.10.2025 to the respondent No.5, alleging that respondent No.6 and others have trespassed into the premises, attempted to assault her and her husband, and tried forcibly evict them despite the pendency of a civil suit for injunction. The petitioner alleged commission of offences amounting to criminal trespass, intimidation and unlawful eviction. Based on the said complaint dated 02.10.2025, respondent No.5 registered FIR No.281/2025

on 06.10.2025 under Sections 329(4), 351(2) read with 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (for short 'BNS') against respondent No.6 and others. The said crime presently is under investigation. Thereafter, respondent No.6 submitted a written complaint before respondent No.5 alleging that the petitioner's husband and others have forcibly entered her flat, assaulted senior citizens and staff, caused serious injuries, issued threats, indulged in indecent behaviour and committed acts amounting to grave offences. Upon receipt of the said complaint, respondent No.5 registered Crime No.286/2025 on 13.10.2025 under Sections 329(4), 115(2), 35 read with 3(5) of the BNS against the petitioner's husband and others. The said crime is also under investigation. While both the above crimes were pending for investigation, the petitioner once again submitted a fresh complaint dated 10.12.2025, reiterating substantially the same allegations relating to tenancy disputes, alleged forcible occupation, removal of household articles, threats, and fear for personal safety, despite the pendency of the Civil Suit in O.S. No.441/2025 on the file of the IX Junior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad. On receipt of the complaint dated 10.12.2025, respondent No.5 made a petition entry *vide* Acknowledgement No.HYD/MEH_HYD/231225/00872 dated 23.12.2025. After conducting a preliminary enquiry, it was found that two FIRs have already been registered on the same set of

allegations and counter-allegations, and that the issues raised were predominantly civil in nature, arising out of a landlord-tenant dispute already pending before competent Civil Court. Accordingly, the complaint dated 10.12.2025 was closed on 08.01.2026 as "Civil in Nature". The said closure was duly communicated to the petitioner through the Petition Management System. It is further submitted that the allegation that respondent police failed to consider the petitioner's complaint or did not take any action is false, incorrect and misleading. Since appropriate action has already been taken on all complaints submitted by the petitioner, no further cause survives for consideration in the present writ petition.

4. Recording the above submissions, the writ petition is disposed of, as the complaint was closed as "civil in nature" on 08.01.2026 and a civil suit in O.S. No. 441 of 2025 is pending on the file of the IX Junior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad. Needless to state, the petitioner is at liberty to seek appropriate remedies available under law.

Miscellaneous petitions, pending, if any, shall stand closed.

However, there shall be no order as to costs.

SD/- P. PONNA KRISHNA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Home Department, State of Telangana, Hyderabad.

2. The Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad Commissionerate, Hyderabad.
3. The Deputy Commissioner of Police, Southwest Zone, Hyderabad Commissionerate, Telangana.
4. The Assistant Commissioner of Police, Asif Nagar Division
5. The Station House Officer, Mehdiapatnam Police Station, Hyderabad
6. One CC to SRI E. VENKATA SIDDHARTHA, Advocate [OPUC]
7. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. [OUT]
8. Two CD Copies
DAN




HIGH COURT

DATED:21/01/2026

ORDER

WP.No.854 of 2026



DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION
WITHOUT COSTS

(11)

Yps
4/2/26