



CONT P(MD). No.601 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 27/03/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BALAJI

CONT P(MD). No.601 of 2026

Subramaniyan

... Petitioner

Vs

1. Prabakaran,
Thasildar,
Krishnarayapuram Taluk,
Karur District..

2. Kasthuri,
Taluk Chief Surveyor
Krishnarayapuram Taluk Office,
Karur District..

3. Valarmathi,
Taluk Surveyor
Krishnarayapuram Taluk Office,
Karur District.

... Respondents

PRAYER :- Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 to against the respondents herein for their willful disobedience and violated the order passed by this Honourable Court in W.P.(MD).No.7091 of 2025 dated 17-03-2025 and punish the respondents for contempt of Court.

For Petitioner : Mr. S. Mohammad Kasim

1/5



CONT P(MD). No.601 of 2026

Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Saravanan

Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

This petition has been filed against the respondents herein alleging willful disobedience and violation of the order passed by this Court in W.P.(MD) No.7091 of 2025 dated 17.03.2025, and seeking punishment of the respondents for contempt of Court.

2. According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had directed that a survey be conducted with the assistance of the Taluk Chief Surveyor and the Taluk Surveyor of Krishnarayapuram Taluk Office, Karur District, and to dispose of the petitioner's applications dated 30.07.2024 and 25.11.2024. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the survey has not been conducted as directed by this Court.

3. Per contra, Mr. B. Saravanan, learned Additional Government



CONT P(MD). No.601 of 2026

Pleader, invited my attention to the petitioner's typed set and, by referring to the communication dated 18.09.2025 issued by the Tahsildar, Krishnarayapuram, to the petitioner, submitted that the survey was in fact conducted on 02.06.2025 in the presence of the petitioner, and that the petitioner refused to sign the minutes on the ground that survey stones were not fixed.

4. In fact, I find that the petitioner has not only enclosed the said communication in the typed set of papers filed in support of the contempt petition, but has also referred to and extracted its contents in the affidavit filed in support of the contempt petition. If, in fact, no survey had been conducted, the petitioner ought to have responded to the said communication. Admittedly, the same has not been done.

5. Therefore, the grievance of the petitioner appears to be only that survey stones were not fixed. However, that was not the direction issued by this Court. Hence, I do not find any violation of the order dated 17.03.2025.



CONT P(MD). No.601 of 2026

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the Contempt Petition is dismissed.

27.03.2026

Index : yes/ no
Internet: yes / no
TRP

TO

1. Prabakaran,
Thasildar
Krishnarayapuram Taluk,
Karur District..

2. Kasthuri,
Taluk Chief Surveyor
Krishnarayapuram
Taluk Office, Karur District..

3. Valarmathi,
Taluk Surveyor
Krishnarayapuram Taluk
Office, Karur District..



WEB COPY



CONT P(MD). No.601 of 2026

P.B. BALAJI,J

TRP

ORDER
IN
CONT P(MD) No.601 of 2026

Date : 27/03/2026