



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 06-03-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CONT P(MD) NO. 336 of 2026

M.Seeman

Petitioner(s)

Vs

S.Bhuvaneshwari
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR of Horticulture
Agriculture Department
Melur, Madurai District - 625 106.

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s): Mr.M.Sheik Abdullah

For Respondent(s): Mr.SRA.Ramachandran, Additional Government Pleader

Prayer:

Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 to pass an order by punishing the contemnor / Respondent for her willful disobedience of the order by this Court passed in W.P.(MD).No.20000 of 2025 dated 23-07-2025.

ORDER

This Court without expressing any opinion on merits directed the respondent to consider the petitioner's representation and pass orders.

2.The petitioner is seeking to implement an agriculture scheme in his land but there is a land dispute between the petitioner and Sivanandi and a suit is pending. According to the respondent, the revenue records stand in the name of Sivanandi. Therefore, the scheme is offered to Sivanandi and not to the petitioner. Now, the petitioner is



claiming that he is the owner of the land and therefore, the scheme should be granted to him.

WEB COPY

3. When there is a title dispute in the land, the petitioner cannot insist to implement the scheme and offer the scheme to him. Therefore, there is no alleged contempt. If the petitioner is agreed by the communication dated 03.11.2025, the petitioner is at liberty to challenge the same. However, the entire issue can be settled only after the suit is completed. Therefore, the petitioner cannot demand to implement the scheme in the land claiming that the land belongs to him.

4. Therefore, the contempt petition is closed.

06-03-2026

Tmg

To

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR of Horticulture
Agriculture Department
Melur
Madurai District - 625 106.