



W.P.(MD).No.5450 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 02-03-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

W.P.(MD).No.5450 of 2026

and

W.M.P(MD).No.4573 of 2026

V.V.Madhavan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments,
Nungambakkam High Road,
Chennai - 34 .

2. The Joint Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments,
Madurai.

3. The Assistant Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments,
Madurai.

4. The Inspector,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments,
Madurai North,
Madurai.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the Impugned Order passed by the 2nd Respondent in his vide proceedings in A.NI.THU.NO.9041/2025E1 dated 17.11.2025 in O.A.No.45 of 2024 as Quash the same as illegal and consequently direct



W.P.(MD).No.5450 of 2026

the 2nd Respondent to furnish the attested copy of the marked documents Ex.P1-22 in O.A.No. 45 of 2024 and pass such other orders as this Honble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render justice.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Joseph Jerry
For R1 to R4 : Mr.M.Sarangan
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

The prayer in the writ petition is innocuous in nature inasmuch as it prays for a certiorarified mandamus calling for the records in respect of an interim order that is passed on 17.11.2025, whereby the documents in Ex.P.1 to Ex.P.22 were marked, however, the same has not been furnished to the petitioner. Therefore, the petitioner has filed this writ petition to furnish him with the copies.

2. Heard Mr.A.Joseph Jerry, learned counsel appearing for petitioner and Mr.M.Sarangan, learned Government Advocate, who takes notice on behalf of the respondents.



W.P.(MD).No.5450 of 2026

WEB COPY

3. By consent of both the parties, the writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

4. When the matter came up for hearing today, the learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents would submit that as per the rules, the petitioner being the party to the proceedings will be entitled for the certified copies of the document. However, in this case, the apprehension is expressed only because the document itself are photocopies and there should not be any misuse of the documents.

5. When the petitioner is a party to the proceedings, the documents that are marked should be furnished to him, especially when there is a provision to furnish the certified copy. The mere apprehension that the party may misuse cannot be a ground to deny him the document.



W.P.(MD).No.5450 of 2026

WEB COPY

6. In view thereof, the writ petition is allowed on the following terms:-

- i. Since the petitioner has already made an application for the copies of Ex.P.1 to Ex.P.22 before the 2nd respondent, the 2nd respondent is directed to provide the certified copies of Ex.P.1 to Ex.P.22 within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a web copy of the order, without waiting for the certified copy of the order.
- ii. Necessary charges, if any, shall be collected from the petitioner after furnishing the copy.
- iii. Further proceedings can be carried on in O.A.No.45 of 2024 in accordance with law.
- iv. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

02.03.2026

Index: Yes
Speaking Order: Yes
Neutral Citation: No
rgm



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD).No.5450 of 2026

D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

rgm

To

1. The Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments,
Nungambakkam High Road,
Chennai - 34 .
2. The Joint Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments,
Madurai.
3. The Assistant Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments,
Madurai.
4. The Inspector,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments,
Madurai North,
Madurai.

W.P.(MD).No.5450 of 2026
and
W.M.P(MD).No.4573 of 2026

02.03.2026