



WP(MD). No.36819 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Dated : 02.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

WP(MD)No.36819 of 2025

O.Nagendran

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The General Manager,
Tamilnadu State Transport Corporation
(Madurai) Ltd.,
Dindigul Region,
Dindigul.

2. The Administrator,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
Employees Pension Fund Trust,
Thiruvalluvar Illam,
Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to pay interest at the rate of 6% per annum, for the period of delay from 01.08.2024 to 02.12.2025 in paying the amounts paid towards the terminal benefits within a time frame as may be fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : No appearance



WP(MD). No.36819 of 2025

For R1 : Mr.K.Ramaiah
Standing Counsel
For R2 : Mr.SC.Herold Singh,
Standing Counsel

ORDER

The petitioner was appointed as a Driver in the Transport Corporation on 24.07.1996 and after rendering 26 years of service, he retired from service as Special Grade Driver on 31.07.2024. However, the terminal benefits due to the petitioner were settled only on 02.12.2025. Seeking interest for the belated payment, the petitioner gave a representation to the respondents on 09.12.2025, however, it was not considered by the respondents. Therefore, the petitioner has filed this writ petition for the above said relief.

2. Today, when this writ petition is taken up for consideration, there is no representation for the petitioner.

3. Mr.K.Ramaiah, learned Standing Counsel, who takes notice on behalf of the 1st respondent, fairly submits that the retirement benefits



have been settled to the petitioner belatedly.

WEB COPY

4. The employer is liable to settle the retirement benefits to its employees without any delay and in case, if it is settled belatedly, it has to be compensated by way of interest for the belated payment. In this regard, the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***S.K.Dua vs. State of Haryana*** reported in **2008 (3) SCC 44**, has held as follows:

“14. In the circumstances, prima facie, we are of the view that the grievance voiced by the appellant appears to be well founded that he would be entitled to interest on such benefits. If there are statutory rules occupying the field, the appellant could claim payment of interest relying on such rules. If there are administrative instructions, guidelines or norms prescribed for the purpose, the appellant may claim benefit of interest on that basis. But even in absence of statutory rules, administrative instructions or guidelines, an employee can claim interest under Part III of the Constitution relying on Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution. The submission of the learned counsel for the appellant, that retiral benefits are not in the nature of “bounty” is, in our opinion well founded and needs no authority in support thereof. In that view of the matter, in our



WP(MD). No.36819 of 2025

considered opinion, the High Court was not right in dismissing the petition in living even without issuing notice to the respondents.”

5. Following the same, in a similar issue, a Division Bench of this Court, in W.A.(MD)No.403 of 2010, etc. batch, vide common order dated 04.07.2014, has fixed the rate of interest at 6% per annum and held as under:-

“5. even though there is no provision in the Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Employees Pension Fund for payment of interest, cannot stand in the light of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in S.K.Dua v. State of Haryana and another, reported in (2008) 3 SCC 44. As a matter of fact, the Rules do not contemplate belated payment of retirement benefits. The Rules contemplate prompt payment. When the Rules contemplate prompt payment and not belated payment, the Rules will not contain a provision for payment of interest. The Pension Fund which was created as a Trust by the Corporation was supposed to act in trust for the employees' benefit. If the Trust could not make payments within the time stipulated, then, irrespective of whether there is any provision for payment of interest or not, the



WP(MD). No.36819 of 2025

Corporation is obliged to make payment.”

WEB COPY

6. Following the dictum laid down on this issue, the writ petition is allowed with a direction to the respondent Transport Corporation to pay interest, for the belated payment of retirement/terminal benefits of the petitioner, at the rate of 6% p.a., within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

02.01.2026

ogy

Index : Yes / No.

Internet: Yes / No.

NCC : Yes / No.

To

1. The General Manager,
Tamilnadu State Transport Corporation
(Madurai) Ltd.,
Dindigul Region,
Dindigul.
2. The Administrator,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
Employees Pension Fund Trust,
Thiruvalluvar Illam,
Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.



WEB COPY



WP(MD). No.36819 of 2025

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

ogy

WP(MD) No.36819 of 2025

02.01.2026