



WEB COPY



CRL MP(MD)No.136/2026

CRL MP(MD)No.136/2026
in
CRL A(MD)SR.No.86361/2025

N.MALA, J.

This petition has been filed to condone the delay of 329 days in filing the above criminal appeal against the judgement dated 25.11.2024, in CC.No.910/2022, passed by the learned Additional District Judge, I Additional Special Court for NDPS Act Cases, Madurai.

2.The petitioner in the affidavit filed in support of the condone delay petition averred that initially, he had entrusted the papers to a counsel for filing the present appeal and that the counsel had also taken the original copy of the judgment for filing the appeal. However, the learned counsel was unable to obtain the requisite representative authority for filing the appeal, and so the petitioner had to arrange for some other counsel. In the process, there was a delay of 329 days in preferring the appeal by the petitioner. The petitioner further stated that the delay was neither wilful nor wanton, but due to the aforesaid *bona fide* reasons, and therefore prayed for condonation of the delay of 329 days.



CRL MP(MD)No.136/2026

WEB COPY

3.The respondent filed a counter affidavit, stating that no satisfactory or sufficient cause was shown for the inordinate delay of 329 days in filing the appeal.

4.Heard the learned Government Advocate [Crl.Side] for the respondent and perused the materials placed on record.

5.The Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide order dated 02.01.2025, in SLP. [Crl.].No.18045/2024, in the case of ***Mahesh Singh Banzara Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh***, following its earlier judgment, in the case of ***Dilip S.Dahanukar Vs. Kotak Mahindra Co. Ltd [2007 [6] SCC 528]***, held that the right to appeal, particularly, when it concerns the liberty of the individual, is a fundamental right under Article 21 of the Constitution of India and that the High Court, while dismissing the appeal solely on the ground of delay, was bound to examine the reasons for the delay.

6.Being satisfied with the reasons stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition and in view of the aforesaid judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, this Court is of the view that the right to file an appeal being not only a statutory right, but also a constitutional right, the petitioner should be given an opportunity to contest the case on merits. Hence, this



CRL MP(MD)No.136/2026

Court is inclined to condone the delay so as to give an opportunity to the petitioner to contest the appeal on merits.

8. Accordingly, the delay of 329 days in filing the criminal appeal is **condoned** and the petition is **ordered**.

9. Registry is directed to number the criminal appeal, if it is otherwise in order and list the same for admission.

18.02.2026

AP
Internet: Yes



WEB COPY



CRL MP(MD)No.136/2026

N.MALA,J

AP

CRL MP(MD) No.136/2026
in
CRL A(MD)SR.No.86361/2025

18.02.2026