



W.P(MD)No.36845 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 05.01.2026

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

W.P(MD)No.36845 of 2025

C.Srinivasan

... Petitioner

Vs

1.The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Tirunelveli) Limited,
No. 3/2,
Thoothukudi Road,
Kattabomman Nager,
V.M. Chathram, Tirunelveli 627 011.

2.The General Manger,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Tirunelveli) Limited,
Nagercoil Region,
Ranithottam, Nagercoil.

3.The Administrator,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
Employees Pension Fund Trust
No.2, Pallavan Salai,
Chennai-600002.

...Respondents



W.P(MD)No.36845 of 2025

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to pay interest of 12 percent to the petitioners for belated payment of Gratuity, Leave Salary, Provident Fund and commutation of pension amounts within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner	: Mr.S. Sakthivel
For R1 & R2	: Mr.D.Jebaraj Standing Counsel
For R3	: Mr.S.C.Herold Singh Standing Counsel

ORDER

This writ petition is filed for a Mandamus, directing the respondent to pay interest to the petitioner at the rate of 12% per annum for the belated payment of Gratuity, Leave Salary, Provident Fund and commutation of pension amounts within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

2. The case of the petitioner is that he joined as a Conductor in the respondent Transport Corporation and after serving 22 years, he retired from service as Special Grade Senior Conductor




W.P(MD)No.36845 of 2025

WEB COPY

on 31.12.2023. However, the retirement benefits, namely, Provident Fund, Gratuity and Leave Salary were settled to him only on 25.08.2025. Since the benefits have been settled belatedly, the respondent is liable to pay interest for the belated payment. Therefore, the petitioner gave a representation dated 08.10.2025 to the respondent, however, the same did not evoke any response. Therefore, the petitioner has filed this writ petition for the above said relief.

3.Mr.D.Jebaraj, learned Standing Counsel takes notice for the first and second respondents and Mr.S.C.Herold Singh, learned Standing Counsel, takes notice for the third respondent and submits that the terminal benefits have been settled to this petitioner, however, belatedly.

4. By consent of both the parties, the writ petition is taken up for final hearing at the admission stage itself.



5. This Court paid its anxious consideration to the rival

6. The employer is liable to settle the retirement benefits

“14. In the circumstances, prima facie, we are of the view that the grievance voiced by the appellant appears to be well founded that he would be entitled to interest on such benefits. If there are statutory rules occupying the field, the appellant could claim payment of interest relying on such rules. If there are administrative instructions, guidelines or norms prescribed for the purpose, the appellant may claim benefit of interest on that basis. But even in absence of statutory rules, administrative instructions or guidelines, an employee can claim interest under Part III of the Constitution relying on Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution. The submission of the learned counsel for the appellant, that



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.36845 of 2025

retiral benefits are not in the nature of “bounty” is, in our opinion well founded and needs no authority in support thereof. In that view of the matter, in our considered opinion, the High Court was not right in dismissing the petition in living even without issuing notice to the respondents.”

7. Following the same, in a similar issue, a Division Bench of this Court, in W.A.(MD)No.403 of 2010, etc. batch, vide common order dated 04.07.2014, has fixed the rate of interest at 6% per annum and held as under:-

“5. even though there is no provision in the Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Employees Pension Fund for payment of interest, cannot stand in the light of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in S.K.Dua v. State of Haryana and another, reported in (2008) 3 SCC 44. As a matter of fact, the Rules do not contemplate belated payment of retirement benefits. The Rules contemplate prompt payment. When the Rules contemplate prompt payment and not bleated payment, the Rules will not contain a provision for payment of



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.36845 of 2025

interest. The Pension Fund which was created as a Trust by the Corporation was supposed to act in trust for the employees' benefit. If the Trust could not make payments within the time stipulated, then, irrespective of whether there is any provision for payment of interest or not, the Corporation is obliged to make payment."

8. Following the dictum laid down on this issue, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent/Transport Corporation to pay interest for the belated payment of retirement benefits at the rate of 6% per annum from the date of retirement till the date of actual disbursement, within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

No costs.

05.01.2026

NCC: Yes/No
Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes
vrn



W.P(MD)No.36845 of 2025

To
WEB COPY

- 1.The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Tirunelveli) Limited,
No. 3/2,
Thoothukudi Road,
Kattabomman Nager,
V.M. Chathram, Tirunelveli 627 011.
- 2.The General Manger,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Tirunelveli) Limited,
Nagercoil Region,
Ranithottam, Nagercoil.
- 3.The Administrator,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
Employees Pension Fund Trust
No.2, Pallavan Salai,
Chennai-600002.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.36845 of 2025

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

vrn

Order made in
W.P(MD)No.36845 of 2025

05.01.2026