



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23374 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 02.02.2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.23374 of 2025

1.Maheswari
2.Lingapandi

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Tamil Nadu,
Through the Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch (DCB),
Thoothukudi District.
(Crime No.01of 2025)

... Respondent

For Petitioners : Mr.Anandha Padmanaban, Senior Counsel
For M/s.APN Law Associates

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Defacto
Complainant: Mr.N.Dinkar

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.1of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23374 of 2025

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 294(b) and 506(i) of IPC, in Crime No.01 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is running a Siddha hospital in the name and style of Arasoor Anandha Hospital at Ananthavilai, Thoothukudi District, in which the 1st petitioner has been working as a Receptionist-cum-Accountant for about three years. The defacto complainant entrusted her with the work of receiving fees from patients, depositing the same into his bank account, and making payments to pharmaceutical companies. The 1st petitioner cheated about Rs.23,50,000/-. The petitioners returned Rs.10,50,000/- and for the balance they executed a Power of Attorney in favour of the defacto complainant in respect of property situated in S.No.240/2B1, to an extent of 6.23 cents at Arasoor Part II Village, Sathankulam Taluk, Thoothukudi District. Thereafter, the defacto complainant came to know that the Power of Attorney was cancelled by the 2nd petitioner without his knowledge. When the defacto complainant questioned it, they criminally intimidated and abused in filthy language. Hence, the apprehension of



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23374 of 2025

arrest. Hence, a case has been registered as against the petitioners.

WEB COPY

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they have not committed any offence. He seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the offences committed by the petitioners are serious in nature.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and since the petitioner has complied with the conditions imposed in the interim anticipatory bail regularly, the interim anticipatory bail already granted is made absolute and this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23374 of 2025

two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.IV, Thoothukudi, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order made ready and on further conditions that:

[a]the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b]the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required.

[c]the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23374 of 2025

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under

Section 269 of BNS.

02.02.2026

TMG

TO

1. Judicial Magistrate Court No.IV,
Thoothukudi

2.The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch (DCB),
Thoothukudi District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench Of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23374 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J

TMG

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.23374 of 2025

Date : 02.02.2026