



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23286 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 08.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 23286 of 2025

X.Jesuraja

... Petitioner

Vs

The Union of India,
rep. by the Intelligence Officer,
Directorate of Revenue Intelligence,
Tuticorin Regional Unit,
No.22/14, Celin Garden, Roche Colony,
South Beach Road,
Tuticorin – 628 001.
F.No.DRI/CZU/TTN/VIII/48/02/INT-01/2025

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Ms.M.Nithya Sowmya
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Govindarajan, DSGI

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in C.C.No.273 of 2025 on the file of the learned II Additional Special Court of NDPS Act Cases, Madurai.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 23.01.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c), 20(b)(ii)(C), 21(c),



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23286 of 2025

23(C), 25, 27A, 28 and 29 of NDPS Act, in F.No.DRI/CZU/TTN/VIII/48/02/
INT-01/2025 on the file of the respondent, seeks bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 22.01.2025, based on the secret information received by the DRI, they conducted an inspection at Tuticorin Old Port, further they found two persons in a two wheeler namely Jesuraj and Antony Jesu Sudhakar with Hahis Oil 15 Kgs. and 12 Kgs. respectively, with an intention to transport to Maldives with the help of CISF personal namely Marimuthu. Hence, the case has been registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not involved in any offence as alleged in the FIR. The two wheeler is not in the name of the petitioner. Originally he is working in a Thony. To go to his working place only he used his friend's two wheeler. The petitioner is in custody for more than one year. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the respondent would submit that the offences are grave in nature. The contraband was only recovered from this accused along with A2. Other accused helped him for illegal transportation. All



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23286 of 2025

the phone call details were also secured in this case and ready to produce.

Investigation in this case has been completed and the same is pending from trial in C.C.No.273 of 2025 on the file of the learned II Additional Special Court of NDPS Act Cases, Madurai. The trial is also commenced. If the petitioner is released on bail, he will not appear for the hearing regularly and the trial can be stalled. Hence, he vehemently opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, considering the nature of offence, and considering the commercial quantity of the contraband involved in this case and the same was recovered from this accused and the trial is also commenced, this Court is declined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage and this petition is dismissed.

(P D B J)
06.04.2026

TM

To

1.The Sessions Judge, II Additional Special Court of NDPS Act Cases, Madurai.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23286 of 2025

2.The Intelligence Officer,
Directorate of Revenue Intelligence,
Tuticorin Regional Unit,
No.22/14, Celin Garden, Roche Colony,
South Beach Road, Tuticorin – 628 001.
F.No.DRI/CZU/TTN/VIII/48/02/INT-01/2025

3.The Superintendent, Central Prison, Madurai.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23286 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 23286 of 2025

Date : 08.04.2026