



CRL OP(MD). No.460 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 09/01/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE L.VICTORIA GOWRI

CRL OP(MD). No.460 of 2026

and

CRL MP(MD). No.472 of 2026

1. G.Pandurangan

2. M. Gajendran

3. C. Kamatchi,,

4. S. Manirajan,,

5. A. Rajkumar,,

6. T. Manimekalai

... Petitioners

Vs

1. State of Tamilnadu Rep by
Inspector of Police, Virudhunagar West Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
Crime No. 65 of 2025.

2. S. Nambirajan,
The Inspector of Police,
Virudhunagar West Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
Crime No. 65 of 2025.

... Respondents



CRL OP(MD), No.460 of 2026

PRAYER :-

WEB COPY

To call for the entire records Crime No. 65/2025, dated 17.03.2025 pending on the file of the 1st respondent and quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioner : Mr. R.Santhanam,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Thanga Aravindh for R1
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition is filed to call for the entire records Crime No. 65/2025, dated 17.03.2025 pending on the file of the 1st respondent and quash the same as illegal.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 17.03.2025 when the respondent police is on routine duty, near Asan Hotel, Virudhunagar, the petitioners and others gathered near the hotel and created obstructions to the common public. On the basis of the complaint given by the respondent police, a case in Crime No.65 of 2025 was filed for the offences under Sections 189(2), 126(2) of BNS, 2023.

3. The petitioners are arrayed as A1 to A6 in the impugned case. It is the specific case of the petitioner that it is asserted that the protest did



CRL OP(MD), No.460 of 2026

not disturb public order, traffic, or the general public and was well within the constitutional rights guaranteed under Article 19(1)(a) of the Constitution of India.

4. The petitioner contends that the essential ingredients of Section 189(2) of BNS, relating to unlawful assembly with criminal force or common object, are completely absent. The materials on record do not disclose any use of force or violence or any common object attracting criminal liability. It is further contended that Section 126(2) of BNS is not attracted, as there is no material to show obstruction, danger, or wrongful restraint on a public way, nor is there any injunction or lawful order issued by a public servant prohibiting the alleged protest.

5. The complaint has been lodged by a police official, and there is no independent complaint from any member of the general public alleging inconvenience or obstruction. The prosecution, according to the petitioner, is politically motivated and amounts to abuse of the process of law.

6. The learned counsel for the petitioner reiterated that the impugned prosecution is malicious, devoid of material evidence, and



CRL OP(MD), No.460 of 2026

intended only to stifle democratic dissent. It was submitted that compelling the petitioner to face trial would result in grave miscarriage of justice.

7. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side), on the other hand, submitted that the petitioner and others had assembled without permission, blocked a public road, and caused inconvenience, and therefore, the prosecution is justified. It was contended that the truthfulness of the allegations can be tested only during trial.

8. Heard the learned counsels on either side and carefully perused the materials available on record.

9. This Court has carefully perused the FIR and the materials placed on record. A reading of the prosecution records reveals that the allegations primarily relate to a peaceful protest.

10. For attracting Section 189(2) of BNS, the prosecution must *prima facie* establish the existence of an unlawful assembly with a



CRL OP(MD), No.460 of 2026

common object involving criminal force or violence. The FIR itself does not disclose any act of violence, force, or intimidation. Mere assembly or expression of dissent, in the absence of criminal force, would not constitute an unlawful assembly.

11. Similarly, Section 126(2) of BNS requires proof of obstruction or danger caused on a public way. Except for a bald allegation made by the complainant police official, there is no material to show actual obstruction, inconvenience, or danger to the public. No independent witness or member of the public has complained about the alleged protest.

12. Peaceful protest and expression of dissent are integral to a democratic polity and are protected under Article 19(1)(a) of the Constitution of India, subject to reasonable restrictions. Criminal prosecution cannot be used as a tool to suppress lawful dissent.

13. This Court is conscious of the settled principle that when the uncontroverted allegations do not disclose the commission of any offence



CRL OP(MD), No.460 of 2026

and when the continuation of proceedings would only result in harassment of the accused, the inherent jurisdiction of this Court can and ought to be exercised to prevent abuse of process of law.

14. In the present case, the materials on record do not disclose a *prima facie* case against the petitioner. The prosecution appears to be inherently improbable and unsupported by legally acceptable evidence.

15. In view of the above discussion, this Court is of the considered opinion that the continuation of proceedings in S.T.C.No.2166 of 2025 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Kovilpatti, against the petitioner would amount to abuse of process of law.

16. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed, and the Crime No. 65/2025, dated 17.03.2025, is quashed, insofar as the petitioners are concerned. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

09.01.2026

NCC : yes / no
Index : yes / no
Internet :yes / no
pnn

6/8



CRL OP(MD), No.460 of 2026

WEB COPY

To

1. The Inspector of Police, Virudhunagar West Police Station,
Virudhunagar District. Crime No. 65 of 2025.

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.460 of 2026

L.VICTORIA GOWRI, J

pnn

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD). No.460 of 2026
and
CRL MP(MD). No.472 of 2026

Date : 09/01/2026