



CRL OP(MD). No.23050 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 17.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD)No.23050 of 2025

Karthick

... Petitioner / Accused No.2

Versus

The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
PEW Theni Police Station,
Theni District.
(Crime No.120 of 2025)

... Respondent / Complainant

PRAYER :-Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.120 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : M/s.P.Sivaranjini,
for Mr.P.Thanga Prithvi Rajan

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan,
Additional Public Prosecutor



CRL OP(MD). No.23050 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 12.09.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c), 20(b)(ii)(C) and 29(1) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, in Crime No.120 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 12.09.2025 at about 03.00 hours, the respondent police, based upon secret information, proceeded along with a police party and necessary equipment, and found the accused persons in joint possession of 28.745 kgs of ganja. Hence, the case has been registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that 8 kgs of ganja alone was recovered from the petitioner. He would further submit that there are no previous cases against the petitioner. He would also submit that the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on



CRL OP(MD). No.23050 of 2025

12.09.2025. Hence, he seeks grant of bail for the petitioner.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that the petitioner has been arrayed as A2. He would further submit that there are no previous cases pending against the petitioner. He would also submit that the contraband involved in the case falls under the category of commercial quantity. Therefore, he opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials placed on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the nature of offence, even according to the prosecution the contraband involved is a commercial quantity, the contraband was recovered from each person through separate mahazar and in respect of this petitioner, the recovered contraband is 8 kgs, which is not commercial quantity, and the fact that there are no previous cases against the petitioner and also considering the period of incarceration of the petitioner from 12.09.2025, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the

3/7



CRL OP(MD). No.23050 of 2025

petitioner subject to the following conditions:

WEB COPY

[a] Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Principal Special Court for Trial of EC and NDPS Act Cases, Madurai, and on further conditions that :-

[b] the petitioner shall report before the learned Principal Special Court for EC and NDPS Act Cases, Madurai daily at 10.30 a.m. and 05.00 p.m., on all working days, until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from



CRL OP(MD). No.23050 of 2025

WEB COPY

disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or
tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*; and

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

17.04.2026

pal

To

- 1.The Principal Special Court for EC and NDPS Act Cases,
Madurai.
- 2.The Superintendent,
Central Prison,
Madurai.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
PEW Theni Police Station,
Theni District.

5/7



CRL OP(MD). No.23050 of 2025

4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

WEB COPY



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.23050 of 2025

P.DHANABAL,J.

pal

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.23050 of 2025

Date : 17.04.2026