



CRL OP(MD). No. 22842 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 10.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 22842 of 2025

1.Fake Noorshakhan Meharana Nagoor Kani @
Meharunnisha

2.Shek Adham @ Sheik Adham

3.Yasmin Banu

4.Abdul Kareem ...Petitioners/A1 to A4

Vs

1.State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch,
Trichy City,
Trichy District.
(Crime No.29 of 2025)

2.L.Noorjahan

...Respondents

(R2 is suo motu impleaded as per order of this
Court dated 12.12.2025 in Cr1.O.P.(MD).No.22842
of 2025)

For Petitioners :Ms.A.Banumathy
Advocate.

For Respondent :Mr.P.Kottai Chamy
Government Advocate (Cr1. Side)



CRL OP(MD). No. 22842 of 2025

WEB COPY

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.29 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/Accused Nos.1 to A4, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 417, 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 294(b) and 506(i) and 120B of IPC, in Crime No.29 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant purchased 1200 sq. ft property in the year 2011 and he has been in possession of the same. Thereafter, the petitioner and other accused persons and the defacto complainant are relatives. The petitioners and other accused persons obtained the original title documents, signatures and



CRL OP(MD). No. 22842 of 2025

money from the defacto complainant on the representation that they would assist in obtaining necessary approvals from the authorities. Thereafter, the accused persons forged the documents and transferred the property to his name. When the same was questioned by the defacto complainant, they abused him in filthy language and also intimidated with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners and the defacto complainant are relatives. He would further submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that already the matter has been settled between the parties before the Mediation. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



CRL OP(MD). No. 22842 of 2025

WEB COPY 4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that during the pendency of this petition, the matter has been settled between the parties before the Mediation.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and nature of offence and considering the fact that the matter has been settled between the parties and the petitioner has paid a sum of Rs.12,00,000/- through 3 demand drafts and the copy of the same have been enclosed and in this regard, a memo has been filed before this Court and hence, the interim anticipatory bail granted by this Court to the petitioners is made absolute, subject to the following conditions:



CRL OP(MD). No. 22842 of 2025

WEB COPY

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate II, Trichirapalli District, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



CRL OP(MD). No. 22842 of 2025

WEB COPY

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)

10.04.2026

vsg

6/8



CRL OP(MD). No. 22842 of 2025

To
WEB COPY

1.The learned Judicial Magistrate II,
Trichirapalli District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch,
Trichy City,
Trichy District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 22842 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No. 22842 of 2025

Date : 10.04.2026