



CRL OP(MD). No.22779 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 26.03.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.22779 of 2025

Arunkanthan

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
DCB Police Station
Virudhunagar District
(Crime No. 15 of 2025)

...Respondent

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Vishnu Vardhan

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambiselvan

Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 15 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.22779 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/A2, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 406 ,420, 465, 468, 471, 506(i) and 120(b) of IPC in Crime No. 15 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the accused persons based on false promise of assuring job received a sum of Rs.10,00,000/- from the defacto complainant and thereafter the accused persons issued fabricated appointment order as if the son of the defacto complainant has been appointed in a northern railway and instructed him to wait at Sasaram Railway Station in Bihar and thereafter neither arranged job nor returned the money. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and no previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.22779 of 2025

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that the it a case of job racketing. The petitioner herein along with other accused cheated the defacto complainant to the tune of Rs.10,00,000/-. Investigation is pending. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the gravity of offence and also the fact that huge amount involved in this case and the investigation is not yet completed, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Hence the petition stands dismissed.

(P D B J)
26.03.2026

aav

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
DCB Police Station
Virudhunagar District
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

3/4



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.22779 of 2025
P. DHANABAL, J

aav

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.22779 of 2025

Date : 26.03.2026