



CRL OP(MD). No.22511 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 06.01.2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.22511 of 2025

Kumar

... Petitioner/ Accused

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu
Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Dindigul.
(Crime No.23 of 2024)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Balasubramanian

For Respondent : Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad
Government Advocate (Crl.Sid)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS Act
2023.

PRAYER :-For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.23 of 2024 on the file of
the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.22511 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 418 and 420 of IPC, in Crime No.23 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused persons had received a sum of Rs.36,10,000/- from the defacto complainant by promising that they will arrange a Government job. Thereafter, the petitioner along with other accused persons had given an appointment order. Furthermore, the de facto complainant came to know that the order issued by the petitioner was a forged one. Therefore, the petitioner, along with other accused persons, had threatened the de facto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner had already been granted bail by the trial Court on 14.03.2025. Therefore, the



CRL OP(MD). No.22511 of 2025

de facto complainant had filed a petition for cancellation of bail and the same was ordered on 25.11.2025. He further submitted that the petitioner is willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that there are four previous cases pending against the petitioner. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the petitioner is ready and willing to deposit some amount to the credit of crime number, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul, within a period of fifteen



days from the date on which the order copy was made ready and on further conditions that:

[a]the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.75,000/-(Rupees Seventy Five Thousand only) to the credit of Crime No.23 of 2024 before the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul. On such deposit, the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul, shall accept the sureties furnished by the petitioner. After receipt of entire amount, the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul, shall deposit the said amount in an interest bearing Fixed Deposit in any nationalized Bank initially for a period of one year and renew them periodically until the final order/Judgment is passed in the case in Crime No.23 of 2024. The learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court shall pass orders regarding entitlement of the said amount in its final order/Judgment.

(c) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m.,until further orders.



CRL OP(MD). No.22511 of 2025

WEB COPY

(d) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]* and;

(g) if the accused/petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

(S S Y J)
06.01.2026

msrm



CRL OP(MD). No.22511 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Dindigul.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Dindigul.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.22511 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J

msrm

**ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD). No.22511 of 2025**

06.01.2026