



CRL OP(MD). No.22693 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 06/01/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.22693 of 2025

R.Muruganandham

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu Rep.By,
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Karur District
In Cr.No.21/2025.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Vivek

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No.21 of 2025 on the file of the respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.22693 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 02.08.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 74, 75, 85, 115, 296(b), 351(3) of BNS and 9(n)(m)(1) r/w. Section 10 of POCSO Act, in Crime No.21 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is the father of the victim girl. The allegation against the petitioner is that he has committed sexual against his daughters. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 02.08.2025. Hence, he seeks bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.22693 of 2025

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the offences committed by the accused are serious in nature. Therefore, he opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. This is the second anticipatory bail application. The petitioner is alleged to have committed the same offence against his three daughters earlier. As the matter was closely related to the family, they tried to compromise and the earlier case ended in compromise. However, when the petitioner subsequently committed the same offence again, the victims approached their paternal aunt, who refused to support the petitioner and advised the victims to take appropriate legal action. Accordingly, the victims once again lodged a complaint against the petitioner. Based on the said complaint, the petitioner was arrested. Considering the fact that this is the second anticipatory bail application and that the statement recorded under Section 164 CrPC supports the case of the prosecution, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.22693 of 2025

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

WEB COPY

(S S Y J)
06.01.2026

jbr

TO

1. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Karur District,
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.22693 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J

jbr

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.22693 of 2025

Date : 06/01/2026