

Tr.C.M.P(MD).No.65 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 04.06.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR

Tr.C.M.P (MD).No.65 of 2026
and
C.M.P(MD)No.1302 of 2026

Shanmugapriya : Petitioner

Vs

Ramakrishnan : Respondent

Prayer : Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition filed under Section 24 of C.P.C., to withdraw the case in H.M.O.P.No.48 of 2025 from the file of the Subordinate Court, Tiruchendur and transfer the same to the Subordinate Court, Muthukulathur.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Jeya Prakash,

For Respondent : Mr.G.Bhagavath Singh.

ORDER

The Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition has been filed seeking orders to withdraw the case in H.M.O.P.No.48 of 2025 from the file of the Subordinate Court, Tiruchendur and transfer the same to the Subordinate Court, Muthukulathur.



Tr.C.M.P(MD).No.65 of 2026

WEB COPY 2. It is not in dispute that the marriage between the parties was solemnized on 13.12.2021 as per Hindu rites and customs and due to their wed-lock, they were blessed with a male child, namely Yogadharsan and that subsequently, there arose some misunderstanding between them and they are living separately.

3. It is also not in dispute that the respondent has filed a petition in H.M.O.P.No.48 of 2025, seeking restitution of conjugal rights and the same is pending on the file of the Subordinate Court, Tiruchendur.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is residing at Sayalkudi, Ramanathapuram District and finds it very difficult to travel to Tiruchendur along with her three years old child, to attend all the hearings.

5. The learned counsel appearing for the respondent would submit that the respondent is having objections to transfer the case and that the petitioner has not assigned any valid reason or ground to transfer the case.




Tr.C.M.P(MD).No.65 of 2026

WEB COPY 6. It is evident that the matter was referred to Mediation, but the same ended in failure.

7. In the case of ***N.C.V.Aishwarya Vs. A.S.Saravana Karthik Sha*** reported in ***2022 Live Law (SC) 627***, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has reiterated the position with generally, it is the wife's convenience, which must be looked at while considering the transfer petition under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure and the relevant passage is extracted hereunder :

“The cardinal principle for exercise of power under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure is that the ends of justice should demand the transfer of the suit, appeal or other proceeding. In matrimonial matters, wherever Courts are called upon to consider the plea of transfer, the Courts have to take into consideration the economic soundness of both the parties, the social strata of the spouses and their behavioural pattern, their standard of life prior to the marriage and subsequent thereto and the circumstances of both the parties in eking out their livelihood and under whose protective umbrella they are seeking their sustenance to life. Given the prevailing socioeconomic paradigm in the Indian society, generally, it is the wife's convenience which must be looked at while considering transfer.”, the bench observed.”



Tr.C.M.P(MD).No.65 of 2026

8. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and taking note of the fact that the petitioner is residing at Sayalkudi, Ramanathapuram District, this Court is inclined to allow this petition. Accordingly, the petition in H.M.O.P.No.48 of 2025, is ordered to be withdrawn from the file of the Subordinate Court, Tiruchendur and transfer the same to the Subordinate Court, Mudukulathur. The learned Subordinate Judge, Tiruchendur, is hereby directed to transmit the entire records in H.M.O.P.No.48 of 2025 to the file of the Subordinate Court, Mudukulathur, within a period of 10 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and on receiving the case bundle, the learned Subordinate Judge, Mudukulathur, is directed to take up the petition on file and proceed in accordance with law.

9. With the above direction, the Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition stands allowed. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.
No costs.

04.06.2026

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes/No
das



Tr.C.M.P(MD).No.65 of 2026

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Subordinate Court, Mudukulathur.
- 2.The Subordinate Court, Tiruchendur.
- 3.The Section Officer,
VR Section, Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Tr.C.M.P(MD).No.65 of 2026

K.MURALI SHANKAR, J.

DAS

Order made in
Tr.C.M.P (MD).No.65 of 2026
and
C.M.P(MD)No.1302 of 2026

04.06.2026