



CRL OP(MD). No. 22029 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 10.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 22029 of 2025

Niranjan

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

1. State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
AWPS – Madurai Town
Madurai.
(Crime No. not known of 2025)

2. Cicily Shamini

*(R2 is impleaded vide order dated 09.12.2025
in Crl.M.P.(MD) No.19609 of 2025 in
Crl.O.P.(MD) No.22029 of 2025)*

...Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Anto Prince
Advocate.

For R1 : Mr.M.Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For R2 : Mr.P.Bala murugan

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS



CRL OP(MD). No. 22029 of 2025

PRAYER :-

WEB COPY

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. not known of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Section 85 of BNS, 2023 in Crime No. not known of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are the husband and wife. Due to the dispute between the parties, the petitioner and his family members subjected her to cruelty, including dowry harassment. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prayed to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No. 22029 of 2025

4. The learned counsel for the intervenor/R2 would submit that there is a matrimonial dispute between the parties and the petitioner and his family members harrassed the defacto complainant by demanding dowry. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Section 85 of BNS, 2023. He further submits that earlier, the parties were referred to Mediation and however, none of the parties were appeared before the Mediation. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and the relationship



CRL OP(MD). No. 22029 of 2025

between the parties and considering the facts that there is a matrimonial dispute between the parties and though the matter has been referred to Mediation, both the parties have not appeared before the Mediation, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Madurai, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



CRL OP(MD). No. 22029 of 2025

WEB COPY

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or

witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
10.04.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Additional Mahila Court,
Madurai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
AWPS – Madurai Town
Madurai.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 22029 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 22029 of 2025

Date : 10.04.2026