



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 25.02.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD) NO. 21848 of 2025

V.Ganeshkumar

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Of Tamilnadu Rep By Inspector Of Police, DCB
Dindigul District.
Crime No.37 of 2025.

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.S.Karthik

For Respondent(s):

Mr.S.S.Manoj

Government Advocate (crl.Side)

For Intervenor : Mr.N.Mohan

Prayer: For Anticipatory Bail in Crime no.37 of 2025 on the file of the
Respondent Police.



WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner/Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 418 and 420 of IPC, in Crime No.37 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner received a sum of Rs. 10,00,000/- from the defacto complainant on the false promise that he would secure a government post and thereafter cheated the defacto complainant. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioner.



4. On the earlier occasion, after hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side), this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that the as per the direction of this Court, the petitioner regularly appearing before the respondent police and co-operated with the investigation.

6. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has paid a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- to the defacto complainant and he has also executed compromise dated 27.01.2028.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and since the petitioner has complied with the conditions imposed in the interim anticipatory bail regularly, the interim anticipatory bail already granted is made absolute and this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, with certain conditions.



WEB COPY

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate Court II, Dindigul, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the



WEB COPY



learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

25.02.2026

tta

To

- 1.The Inspector Of Police, DCB,
Dindigul District.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



S.SRIMATHY, J.,

tta

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.21848 of 2025

25.02.2026