



W.P.(MD) No.34663 of 2025

**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT**

**DATED: 06.01.2026**

**CORAM**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY**

**W.P.(MD)No.34663 of 2025**

1.Chinnathai  
2.Thangamani  
3.Karuppayammal  
4.Muthulakshmi  
5.Pazhavesam  
6.Mariyammal ... Petitioners

**Vs**

The Sub Registrar,  
Kovilpatti,  
Thoothukudi District. ... Respondent

**Prayer:** Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the impugned refusal slip in RFL/Kovilpatti/169/2025 dated 14.11.2025 quash the same and consequently direct the respondent herein to register the above sale deed dated 14.11.2025 executed by the petitioner.



**W.P.(MD) No.34663 of 2025**

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Thalaimutharasu  
For Respondent : Mr.K.R.Badrus Zamab,  
Govt. Advocate

### **ORDER**

This Writ Petition is filed challenging the impugned refusal check slip issued by the respondent dated 14.11.2025 and seeking for a consequential direction, directing the respondent herein to register the sale deed dated 14.11.2025 executed by the petitioner.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has obtained a decree for declaration, declaring that the subject property belongs to the petitioner. However, when the petitioner presented a sale deed for registration, the same was refused to be registered on the ground that with regard to the subject property, a Writ Petition in W.P.(MD)No.31487 of 2025 is pending. Challenging the same, the petitioner has filed this Writ Petition.

3. The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent would submit that if the sale deed is represented, the same would be registered by the respondent.



**W.P.(MD) No.34663 of 2025**

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the view that since this Court today (i.e. 06.01.2026) dismissed the said Writ Petition viz., W.P.(MD)No.31847 of 2025, there is no impediment for the respondent to register the sale deed 14.11.2025 presented by the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, the impugned order passed by the respondent dated 14.11.2025 is set aside and the Writ Petition is allowed. The petitioner is directed to represent the sale deed dated 14.11.2025 and upon representation, the respondent is directed to register the same forthwith. There shall be no order as to costs.

**06.01.2026**

Index : Yes/No  
Neutral Citation: Yes/No  
vsm

To

The Sub Registrar,  
Kovilpatti,  
Thoothukudi District.



WEB COPY



**W.P.(MD) No.34663 of 2025**

**KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J.**

vsm

**W.P.(MD)No.34663 of 2025**

**06.01.2026**