



CRL OP(MD). No.21365 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 09/04/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.21365 of 2025

1. Chellappa

2. Gayathri

3. Padmaja

4. Karthikeyan

... Petitioners/ Accused No.2 to 5

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu Rep By,
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Thallakulam,
Madurai City.
Cr.No.24 of 2025.

... Respondent/ Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.24 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : S. Maya Perumal,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



CRL OP(MD). No.21365 of 2025

For Intervener : Mr.V.Neelakandan

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 85 and 316(2) of BNS Act, 2023, in Crime No.24 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that A1 is the husband and the petitioners herein are the in-laws of the defacto complainant. A1 is working as Chemical Engineer in Multinational Company at Saudi. The marriage solemnized between A1 and the defacto complainant on 12.03.2025. At the time of marriage, the defacto complainant was given 80 sovereigns of gold jewels, 6 sovereigns (for A1) and household articles worth about Rs.6,50,000/- & Rs.3,00,000/- as sridhana. From the fourth day of marriage, A2 has taken custody of all jewels. On 20.04.2025, A1 went to abroad. Thereafter, the petitioners herein are said to have ill treated the defacto complainant and caused cruelty upon her



CRL OP(MD). No.21365 of 2025

by demanding additional dowry. Hence, a case has been registered against the accused for the aforesaid offences. Hence, this petition.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that there is matrimonial dispute between A1 and the defacto complainant and now, matter has been compromised between the parties and no previous cases is pending against the petitioners. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that it is matrimonial dispute between the parties. However, he fairly conceded that now, the matter has been settled between the parties and no previous case is pending against the petitioners.

5. The learned counsel for the Intervener affirmed the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side).



WEB COPY

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, and the relationship between the parties and there is matrimonial dispute between the parties and the same has been amicably settled between the parties and no previous case is pending against the petitioners, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Madurai**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of



CRL OP(MD). No.21365 of 2025

similar nature.

WEB COPY

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
09.04.2026
2/2

dss



CRL OP(MD). No.21365 of 2025

P. DHANABAL,J

DSS

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Madurai,
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Thallakulam,Madurai City.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.21365 of 2025

Date : 09/04/2026

2/2