



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21005 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 19.02.2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.21005 of 2025

1.Shalinipandi
2.P.Rajendran
3.R.Krishnaveni

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep.by the Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Oomachikulam,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.21 of 2025)

... Respondent

For Petitioners : Mr.T.Balarathinakumar

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervenor : Mr.R.R.Thamothar Raj

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.21 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21005 of 2025

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 417, 420, 495 and 120B of IPC in Crime No.21 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is the de-facto complainant Palanivel and the accused no.1, Shalinipandi are husband and wife. The de-facto complainant came to know that his wife Shalinipandi was already married to Karthikeyan on 08.12.2015. The other accused persons P.Rajendran(A2), R.Krishnaveni (A3), R.Saravana Kumar(A4), A.K.Kamatchi @ Kamatchichettiyar(A5), [Pandi @ R. Pandiarajan](#) (A6), K.Tavamani(A7), A.K.Gopi @ Gopinath (A8), and E.Murugamani(A9) had arranged the marriage between the accused no.1 and the de-facto complainant without mentioning about the existence of previous marriage with one Karthikeyan. Hence, the apprehension of arrest. Hence, a case has been registered as against the petitioners.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they have not committed any offence. He seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21005 of 2025

petitioners.

WEB COPY

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the offences committed by the petitioners are serious in nature.

5.Admittedly, there is a matrimonial dispute and there are other litigations pending between the parties. This petition was referred to mediation wherein the parties have arrived at settlement as far as the children are concerned. The the terms of settlement between the parties is that the first petitioner shall send the children to the defacto complainant on every Sunday and the same shall continue. The defacto complainant is at liberty to deposit any amount inthe name of the children. If the defacto complainant purchases any dress materials for the children, the first petitioner is directed to accept the same. The defacto complainant is at liberty to bear the educational expenses of the children. The defacto complainant is entitled to the custody of the children from every Saturday 5:00 PM to Monday morning. The defacto complainant shall send the children to the school on every Monday morning at the school timing. Thereafter, the wife shall take the custody of the children on Monday from the school. The first petitioner is entitled to the custody of the children



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21005 of 2025

from Monday to Saturday 04:49 PM.

WEB COPY

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Learned Judicial Magistrate No.V, Madurai within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready and on further conditions that:

[a]the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b]The defacto complainant is entitled to the custody of the children from every Saturday 5:00 PM to Monday morning. The defacto complainant shall send the children to the school on every Monday morning at the school timing. Thereafter, the 1st petitioner shall take the custody of the children on Monday from the school. The first petitioner is entitled to the custody of the children from Monday to Saturday 04:49 PM.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21005 of 2025

[c]the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30

a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required.

[d]the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

19.02.2026

TMG



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21005 of 2025

1.The Learned Judicial Magistrate No.5,
Madurai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Oomachikulam,
Madurai District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench Of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21005 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J

TMG

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.21005 of 2025

Date : 19.02.2026