



CRL OP(MD). No.20967 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 02.02.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.20967 of 2025

1.Iswarya

2.Rajarajeshwari

... Petitioners/Accused

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu

Rep.by the Inspector of Police,

All Women Police Station,

Usilampatti,

Madurai District.

(Crime No.19 of 2024)

2. M/s.Anudevi,

W/o.Prithivikumar,

Thiruppathi Nagar,

Elumalai,

Peraiyur Taluk, Madurai District.

... Respondent/Complainant

R2 is suomotu impleaded as per the order
of this Court dated 21.11.2025 in
Crl.OP(MD).No.20967 of 2025.



CRL OP(MD). No.20967 of 2025

For Petitioners : Mr.A.Srinivasan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.19 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 406, 498(A), 506(i) r/w 4 of TNWH Act, in Crime No.19 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the first accused had married the defacto complainant. Further, petitioners along with the other accused had demanded dowry from the defacto complainant and the same was questioned by the defacto complainant and the petitioners harassed her. Hence, the complaint.



CRL OP(MD). No.20967 of 2025

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that due to family dispute, a false case has been given with an intention to harass the petitioners. He further submitted that the petitioners are willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that the entire jewels belonging to the defacto complainant are in the custody of the petitioners. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the eptioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the relationship between the parties, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, on condition that each of the



CRL OP(MD). No.20967 of 2025

petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate No.I, Usilampatti, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and on further conditions that:

[a]the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity;

[b] each of the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh only) to the credit of Crime No.19 of 2024 before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate No.I, Usilampatti. On such deposit, the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate No.I, Usilampatti, shall accept the sureties furnished by the petitioners. After receipt of entire amount, the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate No.I, Usilampatti, shall deposit the said amount in an interest bearing Fixed Deposit in any nationalized Bank initially for a period of one year and renew them periodically until the final order/Judgment is passed in the case in



CRL OP(MD). No.20967 of 2025

Crime No.19 of 2024. The learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court shall pass orders regarding entitlement of the said amount in its final order/Judgment.

(c) The petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

[d]the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners are released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



CRL OP(MD). No.20967 of 2025

[g]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered

under Section 269 of BNS.

(S S Y J)
02.02.2026

tta

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Usilampatti,
Madurai District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.20967 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J

tta

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.20967 of 2025

Date : 02.02.2026