



CRL OP(MD). No. 20196 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 22.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 20196 of 2025

Satheesh Kumar

..Petitioner/Accused No.1

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Oddanchathiram Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.21 of 2025)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner:Ms.G.S.Janani
Advocate.

For Respondent :Mr.M.Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl. Side

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482
of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.21 of
2025 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No. 20196 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY The petitioner/Accused No.1, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Section 64 of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.21 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 15.12.2024, the defacto complainant received a sum of Rs.5,000/- from the petitioner and thereby, on 16.12.2024, the petitioner picked up the defacto complainant in his car and brought her to his office and forcibly physical relationship with her. Thereafter, the petitioner threatened her not to reveal the said incident. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the defacto complainant had borrowed money from the petitioner and when the



CRL OP(MD). No. 20196 of 2025

petitioner asked the defacto complainant to return the amount, a false case has been foisted against the petitioner. He would further submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that the alleged occurrence took place on 16.12.2024, however the date of FIR is on 01.07.2025 and hence, there is a delay in filing FIR. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that there was a money dispute between the parties and hence, the present has been filed against him. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature. He fairly submits that the petitioner has no previous cases. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the



CRL OP(MD). No. 20196 of 2025

petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and nature of offence and considering the fact that there was a money dispute between the parties and the alleged occurrence took place on 16.12.2024, however the date of FIR is on 01.07.2025 and hence, there is a delay in filing FIR and no previous case is pending against the petitioner and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand



CRL OP(MD). No. 20196 of 2025

WEB COPY

only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Oddanchathiram, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Oddanchathiram, once in a week ie., on Every Monday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



CRL OP(MD). No. 20196 of 2025

WEB COPY

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)

22.04.2026

vsg



CRL OP(MD). No. 20196 of 2025

To
WEB COPY

1.The learned Judicial Magistrate,
Oddanchathiram.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Oddanchathiram Police Station,
Dindigul District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 20196 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No. 20196 of 2025

Date : 22.04.2026