

CRL OP(MD). No.20621 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 17.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.20621 of 2025

Kaliraja

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Uthamapalayam Police Station,
Theni District
(Crime No. 219 of 2024)


...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Ramesh Kumar
For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No.219 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.20621 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner / A5, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 15.07.2024 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c) r/w. 20(b)(ii) (C), 29(1), 25 of NDPS Act in Crime No.219 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused were found in illegal possession of 44.130kgs of ganja . Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the respondent police has registered a false case against the petitioner and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the respondent police has not followed the mandatory procedures under the NDPS Act. He would further submit that in this case investigation has been completed and final report has been filed before the concerned Court. The petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 15.07.2024.. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.20621 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that petitioner along with other accused were found in illegal possession of 44.130kgs of ganja which is a commercial quantity. There are materials available as against the petitioner. He would submit that in this case trial commenced. However, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and though the prosecution has stated that the alleged quantity involved in this case is a commercial quantity so far as recovery is concerned it was commonly recovered and there is no specific mention about from whom the contraband was recovered and per contra the mahazhar also shows that from all the accused the contraband was recovered and further similarly placed co-accused A2 was granted bail by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and also taking into consideration the period of incarceration suffered by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to

3/6



CRL OP(MD). No.20621 of 2025

the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

WEB COPY

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the I Additional Special Court for trial of cases under NDPS Act Cases, Madurai and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court on all working days at 10.30 a.m., and 5.30 pm., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.20621 of 2025

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
17.04.2026

aav

To

- 1.The I Additional Special Court for trial of cases under NDPS Act Cases, Madurai 9
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Uthamapalayam Police Station,
Theni District
3. The Superintendent, Central Prison Madurai
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.20621 of 2025
P. DHANABAL, J

aav

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 20621 of 2025

Date : 17.04.2026