



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20035 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 19.02.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.20035 of 2025

Vinoth Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
CCB Police Station,
Madurai City,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.58 of 2025)

... Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Karunanidhi

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervenor : Mr.K.Govindarajan
for Mr.V.Balaji

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.58 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20035 of 2025

for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 336(2), 336(3) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.58 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the De-facto complainant is working as a Manager in the Sumathi Dental Hospital, Anna Nagar and the accused no.1 is working as Supervisor in the same hospital. The accused No.1 misappropriated the management amount of Rs.40,00,000/- during her work at the hospital. Hence, a case has been registered.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence. He seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.The petitioner is the brother of A1, who has received cash and purchased the lorries. Even though the same is an allegation, the petitioner submits that he obtained a loan from the bank and is paying EMI regularly. The



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20035 of 2025

petitioner relied on his bank statement. But the allegation is only regarding the cash transaction. Therefore, it will not reflect in the bank. Therefore, the said submission cannot be entertained.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the offence and the specific overt act against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

19.02.2026

TMG

TO

1.The Inspector of Police,
CCB Police Station,
Madurai City,
Madurai District.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20035 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY, J.

TMG

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.20035 of 2025

Date : 19.02.2026